

*Bound to the
Virgin*

F. Albers, Ph. B.

Foreword by the Publisher

When the contents of this book appeared for the first time, it was in the form of roneoed sheets, as a follow-up (by special request) to a series of ten lectures, delivered by their author, Mr. Frits Albers, to Sydney audiences in 1980. These lectures have since appeared in book form under the title *The Foundations of Our Catholic Faith*.

Initially, then, this present volume was written for people who were familiar with the author's previous work. Desirous to see the invincible bond between the natural light of clear thinking and the supernatural Light of Faith lived out to perfection in one special person: Our Blessed Lady, they felt at the same time the need for a *way of life* in which this perfection could be imitated and made their own. Thus it became inevitable that such an additional lecture would throw an unexpected, powerful light on a particular 'way of life': *the True Devotion of St. Louis Grignion de Montfort*. This explains the original title carried by those earlier sheets: *The True Devotion and the Discipline of the Mind*.

However, there are many Christians who possess a natural curiosity towards anything that is connected to devotion to Our Blessed Lady, and who would like to read a little treatise on Her without being forced to first absorb a ten-lecture series, however beneficial that may be. In preparing his valuable manuscript for print, to cater for this broadening of his readership, the author was forced to develop much more fully in some places what he could naturally expect to be understood in a few words by his erstwhile listeners in Sydney. It will be obvious to people, familiar with the works of Frits Albers, that, in the printed edition of this book, written for people without any acquaintance with the author's previous work, a certain amount of repetition thus became unavoidable. But even where this was necessary, the writer has achieved to place before his audience in a new, fresh and original way what he had already treated more extensively elsewhere, so that even for those familiar with his earlier work, the impact would be invigorating.

In preparing this initial lecture for print, which, as already explained, involved some modification of the original text, it was also decided to change the earlier title to the one carried by this book.

Introduction by the Author

Convinced that the mind which requested that this treatise on Our Blessed Lady should see the light of day is an *apostolic* mind prepared to put up with some revision and repetition, necessary for the organic unity of a whole that is to be created out of all this immensely rich material, I have accepted the challenge to prepare this work for print. Conscious of my own limitations I have nevertheless let myself be guided by the over-riding demands made by the Holy See, to take this matter of *the Discipline of the Mind* very seriously indeed, since the absence of it was identified by one Holy Father – Pope St. PIUS X – as a sure sign by which the approaching one-world ‘church of darkness’ can be recognised. [*Our Apostolic Mandate*, 1910.] Does not St. JOHN state in his *Book of Revelation* that “... *the whole world will run after the beast ...*” [ch.13, v.3], and does this not reveal for sure, that at one stage the whole world will be in the grip of the most crooked thinking possible?

Since this ‘church of darkness’, this ‘church’ of the Second Beast “that looks like the Lamb but speaks like the Dragon” [Rev. ch.13, v.11], is the exact antithesis of the Church of which Our Blessed Lady is the Model and the Prototype, it must follow by necessity that the Faith of Our Blessed Lady, that Faith which is the antithesis of the ‘faith’ by which the Beast will be hailed, must have a lot to do with clear thinking; and that both the natural and Supernatural Light were used by Her for the proper ordering of Her life, and all Her actions. It is this organic unity between those two lights, the most formidable thing on this earth, to which Pope St. PIUS X referred as ‘the Discipline of the Mind’, the absence of which he clearly foresaw and foretold as the hallmark of ‘the great apostasy’, operating in every country (of his days) towards the establishment of the one-world church of darkness’. [Ibid.]

If this means anything, it means that deception will be rife both during the time of the Beast and in the time of its preparation. But deception is impossible in the Supernatural Light of Catholic Faith, strengthened by clear thinking to that unique entity: *the Discipline of the Mind*. It is therefore necessary to start this treatise with a chapter on a somewhat comprehensive analysis of the massive deception which is part and parcel of the so-called ‘religious’ world of our times. Hence its inclusion in the original lecture as in this book.

Deception can be overcome. Since there are many false shepherds and lost sheep in the Catholic fold today, many deceivers and deceived alike, it is

instructive to analyse how some withstood deception and overcame it where others floundered. This will be done in the remainder of the book.

F. Albers.
October, 1982.

Table of Contents

	<i>Page</i>
<i>Chapter One ... Deception</i>	7
<i>Chapter Two ... Not Deceived</i>	26
<i>Chapter Three ... Bound To The Virgin</i>	38
<i>Appendix A ... About the Erroneous Opinions of the Modernists with regard to Infallible Teaching</i>	53
<i>Appendix B ... "Eli, Eli, Lama Sabachthani?"</i>	58
<i>Appendix C ... Venerable Anna Catharina EMMERICH</i>	62
<i>Appendix D ... On Garabandal</i>	64

“Then I saw a second beast; it emerged from the earth. It had two horns like the Lamb, but spoke like the Dragon. This second beast was servant to the first beast, and extended its authority everywhere, making the world and its people worship the first beast” [Rev. 13:11-12.]

“And the whole world marvelled and followed the beast. They prostrated themselves before the Dragon because he had given the beast his authority. And they prostrated themselves in front of the beast saying, ‘Who can compare with the beast? How could anybody defeat him?’” [Rev.13:3-5.]

“Now a great sign appeared in Heaven: a Woman adorned with the sun, standing on the moon and with the twelve stars on Her head for crown.” [Rev.12:1.]

My book is about staying with this Woman, conquering these two beasts.

Chapter One

Deception

OUR LORD has foretold us that, towards the end of time, there would prevail a state of affairs so far removed from His Gospel, that, “if it were possible, even the Elect would be deceived”. [Mt.24:24.]

OUR LADY has come down from Heaven to tell us at FATIMA to be on our guard against deception, when She warned us of a world-wide spread of error.

POPE ST. PIUS X has officially taught us, as the Vicar of Christ on earth, that the great movement of apostasy, which he saw operating in every country of his days, would eventually bring about a ‘church of darkness’, which according to the clear description he gave of this monstrosity, would be a ‘church of fraud and deception, as it would use pretexts: the pretexts of freedom and human dignity, to do evil’. [1910.]

POPE PAUL VI has startled us all with his revelation ‘that the smoke of Satan was actually finding its way into the Church of God, seeping in through the cracks’.

Even if we assume Our Blessed Saviour could have been referring to another time, the other three people speaking on His behalf and with His authority, have not left us in any doubt as to the times they are referring to, nor of the reality they see in that time; having in mind the Salvation of Souls, and the role Truth plays in that. It would be prudent, then, to take the words of Our Lord to heart as spoken to us, as it is utterly inconceivable that He would have a different view of the present-day realities on our earth, at variance with the one unanimously expressed by the other three witnesses mentioned above, who are not only competent to tell us ‘what is in the mind of Christ’; but were also appointed and sent by Our Saviour to speak in His Name, with His Authority.

There are certainly not lacking in our days organisations, movements and streams, all clamouring for the right of our attention, as they are vying with one another in offering us a way out of the turmoil and confusion.

- There is the whole ‘encounter movement’.
- There are the never-ending streams of spurious ‘apparitions’, thriving on the gullibility and disobedience of their numerous followers and promoters.
- There is the false ecumenism with its open ‘gospel’ that the Catholic Church is defective and in need of a drastic overhaul.
- And for those who like to get away from it all, there is the whole emotional escape of the so-called ‘charismatic renewal’ with its instant hot-line to the ‘spirit’ in the make-believe holiness of the neo-gnosticism.

These are but a few examples of the many attempts made by their various adherents in fulfilling Christ’s prophecy: “Take care that no one deceives you; because many will come, using My Name, saying, ‘I am the Christ’, and they will deceive many”. [Mt.24:4-5.] If many of the solutions offered by these agencies are just as defective as the evils they claim to remedy, and if the deceptions associated with them are as world-wide and severe as Our Blessed Lady and Pope St. PIUS X have intimated to us, then the reality of this deception ‘is in the mind of Christ’. This means not only, that His Catholic Church, His Bride, has been fully alerted by Him to the existence of this evil, but also that, as in previous generations, the Catholic Church has known the solution for more than 100 years in advance, and has revealed it to Her children in plenty of time.

I. THE REALITY OF THE DECEPTION

Anyone seriously interested in the 600-year systematic breakdown of THOMISM with the consequent dismissal of trained clear thinking, need only to study the emergence of the ‘One-World Church’, and all that led up to its appearance over the last 150 years. The amount of evidence available is staggering; the value for Truth, Salvation of Souls and the Supernatural Life: nil. This history is stacked with ‘*world congresses*’, ‘*associations*’, ‘*formations of brotherhoods*’, ‘*new religions*’, ‘*lectures*’, ‘*seminars*’, ‘*unions*’, ‘*world fellowships*’, ‘*councils*’, ‘*world summits*’, etc. etc. They all, without fail or exception, have three things in common, amongst a host of various other undesirable attributes:

- (i) There is not only a deliberate ignoring of the Truth, but also, and even more so, *a studious suppression of the Truth*, which proves that the Truth is known but not wanted: the classic definition of deception.
- (ii) They all follow, and even push, at least some major aspect of the Communist line, showing their Marxist origin and worldly aspirations.
- (iii) Whatever they may tolerate from Christianity to give themselves some air of respectability, they nevertheless are all intolerant of Catholicism. This has a tremendous bearing on the driving force and global vision behind the one objective: the creation of a one-world ‘church’. If true Catholicism

has been steadfastly rejected by the Movement over the last 150 years, but a certain brand of ‘catholicism’ is now being made welcome, then here we have a decisive yardstick by which we can measure how far modernism and teilhardism have broken away from Catholic Unity and Truth to become ‘fashionable’ and acceptable to the opposition.

If all this is true for the various movements themselves, and can be assumed true for the leaders, it must not be implied that this is clearly understood, or even intended, by the millions of individuals that make up these agglomerates. That they can be sincere, we must admit. That they are misguided and deceived, we must hasten to add. After all, did not the great Pope St. PIUS X brand the whole push towards the creation of a ‘one-world church’ as “*the great movement of apostasy*”? (1910). This makes it impossible for such a force not to know what it is doing, or to maintain that it is seriously interested in the religious well-being of mankind. The use of pretexts, as the Holy Father charges the Movement with practising, shows that the deception is deliberate, and that the leaders are aiming for something different: in fact quite the opposite of what the deceived are made to believe.

As we will see progressively better, when the story of this deception unfolds, there is ample evidence to make the charge stick, that the *real* aims and objectives of this ‘great Movement of Apostasy’ (to give this drive for the one-world ‘religion’ its real name) are global *political power*, or *world dominance*, as St. JOHN already clearly foretold us in his Book of Revelation. Pope St. PIUS X underscored these Divine predictions by pointing out that this anti-church would bring back to the world “the reign of legalised cunning, and brute force, and the oppression of the weak, and of all those who toil and suffer”. (1910). We recognise these, not as religious objectives, but for what they really are: the exact aims and methods of communism. That such an anti-church, masquerading as a true one, would be intolerant of the true Catholic Church and would strive for its extinction, is inevitable. True Catholicism, as distinct from its modernistic and teilhardian counterfeit, does not fit with Communism and Syncretism as it is intolerant of any form of falsehood and error in its teaching. Its very nature is to overcome deception, in fierce resistance to lies and deceit. The Catholic Church’s first and foremost instinct is to uphold and defend Revealed Truth. *It is the very nature and instinct of the ‘church of darkness’ to withhold and suppress it.*

If SYNCRETISM, according to the definition of one of its innumerable apologists, is

“the intent of its advocates to create a single world religion, by taking THE BEST ELEMENTS from each religion, and fusing them into one, or picking out what is UNIQUE in the different faiths, and making one religion out of them, which would be universally acceptable since it would contain (vital)

elements of every religion.” [Dr. Donald BISHOP, Ph D, Dept of Philosophy, Washington State University, in the 1970 Autumn edition of *World Faiths*,

then NO ONE has yet seen that, over the last 150-odd years, the Syncretists have taken *THE BEST* out of Catholicism, or those things, which make Catholicism *VITAL* and *UNIQUE*. The glaring absence of any love of the Syncretists for what is *vital, unique, and the best* in Catholicism, gives the lie to any claim ‘that the organisers of the world church are objective and sincere’. Which is the same as saying that they try to deceive.

Being a ‘Movement of Apostasy’, it is only of late that the Syncretists have welcomed with open arms what teilhardian ‘catholics’ have brought in as their share in the great apostasy, but only because the modernistic heresies these diseased catholics carried with them *were already part and parcel of the Brotherhood’s outfit and composition anyhow!*

It is time we have a good look at some of the evidence which is piling up in the Great movement’s literature. The profoundly distressing feature of it all is, that all this evidence, like uncollected garbage, is spilling out over a world redeemed by the Precious Blood of the Lamb of God, and the ‘masterminds’ are fooling around with property bought and paid for by the Sufferings and Death of the Son of the Blessed Virgin Mary

A. THE NEW ORDER

As will come to light soon, this Great Movement of Apostasy is made up of a bewildering assortment of bodies, which, like veritable parasites, crawl and feast on the moribund remains of the European Christian Civilisation, which has slowly and agonisingly starved itself to death by cutting off, one by one, the many life-supporting systems which had sustained it so successfully for a thousand years.

When an old regime is in its final throes, a new order is ready to finish it off and take its place. Since it is the *Christian* European Civilisation which is coming to an end, we can be sure of one thing: whatever it is that is instrumental in bringing it to a close in order to replace it, it will never be *christian!* It will not even be truly *religious*, no matter how hard it tries to give that impression ... Hence the origin of deception.

With this set-up, History has provided us with all the trappings of a classical Greek drama:

- A global intrigue, wishing to give the impression of being invincible and to be considered an important part of the reality of our times.
- A force, which is presenting itself as a ‘religious movement’, but which in reality is after political power.

- A one-world ‘church’ in preparation for one-world control.
- And, as St. JOHN puts it, ‘*a second beast*’, making out to be concerned with the unity Christ willed, thus pretending ‘*to look like the Lamb*’, but meanwhile doing everything in its power to achieve a totally different unity: the unification of the world under the tyranny of ‘*the first beast*’, and thus ‘*speaking like the Dragon ...*’ [Rev.13:11-17.]

In order to get some idea of the seeming complexity of the present-day religio-syncretist movement, here are some of the names of the more important bodies associated with it. The information is supplied by John COTTER in his informative little book: *A Study in Syncretism*.

“The new *World Faith Synthesis* to replace all religions, especially Christianity, which *Synthesis* is usually referred to as *WORLD* or *UNIVERSAL BROTHERHOOD*, has for many years been the focus of attention and the sole centre of activity of such groups as:

the Baha'is,
the Theosophists,
the Vedanta,
the World Congress of Faiths,
the World Brotherhood of Faiths,
the World Fellowship of Faiths,
the World Alliance for International Friendship through Religion,
the World Spiritual Council,
the World Spiritual Communist Congress(!),
Sufism,
Union for the Study of the Great Religions,
Divine Life Society,
Subud,
Universal Golden Rule Crusade,
Golden Rule Spiritual United Nations Crusade,
Unity,
The Unitarians,
The World council of Churches,
The National Council of Churches.

All of these are offshoots of the *UNIVERSAL BROTHERHOOD MOVEMENT before 1924!* Members of this movement were / are:

The Universal Brotherhood (Paris, 1923),
Neugeist Bund (Germany, 1922),
International Fellowship (India, 1922),

The League of Neighbors (America, 1920, by members of the World Jewish Congress),
the Church Peace Union (America, 1914),
the Union of East and West (London, 1910),
the Union of International Associations (Continent, 1910),
the International New life Fellowship (1906),

and the Big Daddy of them all:

the World Parliament of Religions,

held in Chicago in 1893, and of which the President of *the London Buddhist Society* said in 1950 at the World Congress of Faiths: ‘The effect of this pioneer effort in religious synthesis has never died’. How right he was ...”

We can add to all of this the many

Teilhard de Chardin Institutes, Associations, Congresses and Fellowships,

which all have their roots deep in the same rosicrucian mumbo-jumbo and pseudo-scientific clap-trap as all the others, and whose only claim to fame is to create the pretence that the Catholic Church Herself has somehow gone over to the ideas of ‘the second beast’.

Running through this perplexing proliferation, as an unmistakable ‘Red Thread’, scarlet with the blood of countless Martyrs, is the word and reality of “*Brotherhood*”. A unifying concept, betraying the presence of a unifying will, and held aloft by an unseen hand mailed by an iron glove It is this ugly and fearsome reality which forced St. JOHN to refer to it, in the 13th chapter of the *Book of Revelation* as “the second beast”, and which forces us to investigate very closely how the holy author could predict in the Holy Spirit: “... that it would do everything in its power to subjugate the world to the tyranny of the First Beast”. To verify, in other words, that, when all is said and done, the second beast is nothing but the first beast under a different and very misleading appearance....

It is not difficult to show, even from the far from complete list printed above, that it was the intention of the Brotherhood that the original meaning by which it wished to be known publicly was *the one of a Religious Fraternity*, with a respectable image of a kind of earnest endeavour to comply with Christ’s wish “that all should be one”. [In ‘Revelation parlance’ this translates: “that it was the wish of the first beast to be universally known as an entity ‘that looked like the lamb’”. But unfortunately, every time ‘the Brothers’ open their mouths, as is the

case with every modernist priest or teilhardian nun, who even more ‘look like the Lamb’: ‘they sound like the Dragon’.]

Is it possible to sustain that this ‘religious’ beginning is fraudulent? That it only served as a cloak to hide the real identity? And is it further possible to ascertain the nature of that ‘real’ identity? And so to substantiate St. John’s claim: “that the movement that wished to be known as ‘looking like the Lamb’, did nevertheless everything in its power to subjugate the world to the tyranny of the first beast, ‘Satan’s most powerful seed’? What about the more recent meanings of ‘the Brotherhood’?

At this stage of our narrative, we are clearly saddled with a dual task:

- (i) to give evidence that ‘the Brotherhood’ has assumed a more recent *political* identity, different from the *religious* one originally portrayed, [B]; and
- (ii) to uncover ‘the half-way mark’: meetings and congresses where there is a quite brutal attempt to *publicly* enforce the acceptance of the political meaning, without drawing attention to the fact that the religious meaning has been dropped, as if such a shift is the most normal thing in the world to do ..., [C]

If this evidence can be produced, then THE BROTHERHOOD has laid itself wide open to a charge of subversion, fraud and deceit, and will then carry all the earmarks, whereby St. John has foretold and described to us its (future) dual existence. Let us see what we can come to light with.

B. THE SHIFT IN IDENTITY

“The Citadel will be stormed under the banners of Liberty and Democracy. And once the apparatus of power is in the hands of ‘The Brotherhood’, all opposition, all contrary opinion must be punished by death to extinction. Democracy is but a tool to be used and afterwards broken; Liberty but a sentimental folly unworthy of the logician. The absolute rule of a self-chosen priesthood (!), according to the dogmas it has learned by rote, is to be imposed upon mankind without mitigation, progressively forever. All this set out in prosy textbooks, but written also in blood in the history of several powerful nations, is the Communists’ faith and purpose.”

Clear language, as can be expected from its author, none other than the late great Winston CHURCHILL, from his book *Great Contemporaries*, in an essay dealing with Leon TROTSKY, p. 168-9.

But if this is to be dismissed as a testimony of an outsider, however alerted we may be by it, we cannot depend on it in isolation.

“I can tell you that ‘The Brotherhood’ exists, but I cannot tell you if it numbers a hundred members, or ten million. From your personal knowledge you will never be able to say that it numbers even as many as a dozen ... The Brotherhood cannot be wiped out, because it is not an organisation in the ordinary sense ...”

These words were spoken by “O’Brien”, a ‘man of mysteriously high rank in the inner party’, a fictitious figure in ORWELL’s great book *1984*. George ORWELL is anything but fictitious! A King’s scholar in Britain’s top-notch Eton College, he was an important British socialist who turned decisively anti-communist after serving for one year on the communist side in the Spanish Civil War. And so ‘O’Brien’s message’ may be taken as a piece of information from a brilliant man who was a semi-insider.

“Comrade Deputies, on the instruction of the Central Committee of the CPSU and the Council of Elders, I wish to propose as Chairman of the Council of Ministers of the Soviet Socialist Republics Comrade Nikolai Alexandrovitch BULGANIN.”

If the two previous testimonies could be ignored ‘as not coming from the inside’, this accusation could hardly be levelled at the last one, as it is the official beginning of the quoted speech, which deputy N. S. KHRUSCHEV gave, early in February, 1955. The term ‘*Council of Elders*’ attracted world-wide attention, as it is one of the customary ways of referring to ‘The Brotherhood’, supposedly an organisation concerned with unity in religion ... E.g. the late Lord BEAVERBROOK’s influential London ‘Daily Express’ in its number of February 9 stated: “Such a council has never been mentioned before”. Whatever it is, it must have been powerful enough to dictate instructions to ‘dictator Khrushchev’ about who should be made Prime Minister of the Soviet Union...

According to these initial testimonies, the ‘Brotherhood’, through its leaders, the Council of Elders, is after political power, as distinct from its earlier image of being only a ‘Brotherhood’ of religious unification. Since there is no trace of evidence to be found anywhere of a rival ‘Brotherhood’ having set itself up for the acquisition of *political* power, as distinct from an original ‘Brotherhood’ whose only aim was the religious unification of the world, we are forced to accept not only that it is the same ‘Brotherhood’ speaking through the two appearances, but even more so that *the later manifestation of the political ‘Brotherhood’ is the only and real existence of this power group*, and that all the original ‘concern’ for religious unity was nothing but a front: a means to deceit, to make itself accepted and popular as a world body and global organisation. And it is this fearsome power group, which wishes to fill the vacuum, left by the eclipse of the European Christian Civilisation.

C. EVIDENCE FOR A “CHANGE IN MID-STREAM” PROPOSED AS AN ACCOMPLISHED FACT IN THE BROTHERHOOD’S POWER STRUGGLE

After the earlier glimpses of a dual character of ‘the Brotherhood’, the so-called ‘*religious*’ World Congresses continued, but they took on more and more of a *political* nature, especially after the early 1970’s, as if by then this transition from one to the other had been universally accepted as an accomplished fact, a natural development. We will have a brief look at a couple of the more glaring examples of this phenomenon. After that we will have to return, in the second half of this chapter, to a more detailed study of where exactly, and how, this blatant switch from ‘religious’ to ‘political’ actually took place.

- (i) “By far the most important syncretist meeting in recent years was held in KYOTO, near Tokyo, Japan, from October 16-22, 1970. Its official title was:

“The First World Conference of Religion for Peace”

It is to be understood that neither such a conference nor its far-reaching aims could be realised without considerable spade work at lower national as well as higher international levels. The mind simply boggles if one considers the amount of coordination and preparatory work done, and the amount of money spent on its preparation. It was the first of its kind where the bold transition from ‘religion’ to ‘politics’ was to be presented and accepted on a world-scale. That alone, as one can appreciate, requires considerable ground work in all countries. [It is sad, but true, that the late Card. John WRIGHT of the U.S.A. played some role in the founding of this very sinister syncretist movement.]

Catholic from 17 nations made up the largest single group ...

In his opening address, the Conference President, *the Catholic Archbishop of New Dehli, ANGELO FERNANDES*, (who was to be President again of the 2nd World Congress in 1974, in Louvain, Belgium, and was prominent at the 3rd Congress in 1979, at Princeton, U.S.A.), addressing more than 1600 hundred delegates, called for the formation of a WORLD PARLIAMENT OF RELIGION, which should have ‘the independence and impartiality of a judiciary body’ and which should act ‘unhesitatingly and fearlessly ...’. If we consider that this 1st Conference (which obviously was meant to set the tone and the pace and the direction of all the ones to follow) dealt exclusively *with non-religious matters*, such as ‘disarmament’, ‘human rights’, ‘human development’ (!), then, in the mind of the ORGANISING BROTHERHOOD, the

‘Parliament of Religions’ was to be set up *for political and economic purposes*.

Conceived a mere decade ago by 4 American clergymen (!), the world conference now under way culminated 10 years of gradual and painstaking effort in world-wide ecumenism and preparatory gatherings.”
[From *Western Catholic Reporter*, Canada.]

Most of this ‘painstaking effort’ was spent in making sure that only suitable delegates would be invited, as came out even more patently in the convening of the 2nd World Congress in Louvain, 1974.

“In KYOTO, the World Council of Churches was represented by its General Secretary, Dr. Carson BLAKE. Of Blake’s Kyoto speech, Sir Muhammad Zafrulla KAHN, President of the International Court of Justice in The Hague, Holland, ex-foreign minister of Pakistan and President of the 17th session of the United Nations, said: ‘Dr. Blake did not stress any single value which I, as a Muslim, could not wholly endorse’.”

[From *World Faiths*, Summer, 1971.]

This, of course, stands to reason. Gone was all the talk about ‘Jesus Christ, our Lord and Saviour’. Here at Kyoto the WCC sat down on terms with absolute equality with every religious shade under the sun *because there simply was no longer any talk about religion ...* We can be certain, then, that if ‘The Brotherhood’ sees fit to create a ‘parliament of religion’, it will be wholly at the service of its *political* ends. Since the call for it came from a *political* gathering: at Kyoto in 1970.

It is impossible not to think here of ‘The World Parliament of Religion’ of Chicago, 1893, where some 300 delegates attended portions of this mammoth 17 day conference, coinciding with a September World Fair. The Archbishop of Canterbury declined an invitation saying that Christianity was the only true religion and that participants implied that the other religions were equal to Christianity. Even stronger condemnations came from other Christian quarters. One missionary called it ‘a betrayal of Christ’.

- (ii) If Chicago of 1893 must be seen as the beginning of an organised betrayal of Christ by some Christian leaders, and Kyoto of 1970 must be equally be viewed as the beginning of an organised betrayal of Christ by some *Catholic* leaders, then the 2nd *World Conference of Religion for Peace*, held at the ‘catholic’ university of Louvain, Belgium, from August 28 – September 3, was an unconditional capitulation to ‘The Brotherhood’.

The delegates, 350 or so, the ‘christians’, even including the puppets from behind the Iron Curtain, heavily outnumbered and outvoted, even assuming they were united in defence of basic Christian beliefs, which they were not, were hand-picked from the ultra-left.

The ‘*Preliminary Studies for Background Information*’ supplied to the delegates, are the pre-set policies of ‘The Brotherhood’. And ‘Woe’ to the delegate who departs one iota from them! The delegates are there to lend the prestige of their name *not to formulate policy!* This is done beforehand in the intervening time between two congresses.

[Even the then Canadian Prime Minister, Pierre TRUDEAU, an ardent supporter and member of ‘The Club of Rome’ (another tentacle of ‘The Brotherhood’), found this same procedure irksome at the ‘Club of Rome’ meeting he attended in Austria in February, 1974. From the Toronto *Star*, August 17, 1974.]

The Louvain Program:

- (1) Disarmament and Security.
- (2) Economic Development and Human Liberation.
- (3) Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms.
- (4) Environment and Survival.

It is obvious from this blatantly ‘party-line’ program that for the majority of mankind *religion* has become synonymous with *economics and politics*, exactly as ‘The Brotherhood’ intended *right from the start*. [Remember St. JOHN? The First Beast (political and economic enslavement) IS the Second Beast, appearing in the travesty of a ‘religious’ garb.] Salvation from Sin and Hell have been replaced by Liberation from any form of religion!

Yes, for ‘The Brotherhood’, it must have been worth the effort and patience. Already, as we know, the World Council of Churches has earmarked, through its various National Council of Churches, as “*maverick churches*” any Church (and we all know whom they mean as that one) that does not go along with this brand of ecumenism, syncretism and ‘religion’; who refuses to give up its own Creed and identity. [See *The Coming World Church*, Back to the Bible Publication, Lincoln, Nebraska, 1963, p. 16-17.] The *Parliament of Religions* will have to deal with this type of deviation and revisionism “unhesitatingly and fearlessly” according to the opening speech *by a Catholic Archbishop* who was President of the 1st Conference in Kyoto. What a weapon in the hands of future persecutors of the Catholic Church! Presented to them by apostate Catholics themselves ... For we must never forget that the name Pope St. PIUS X gave to the formation of this one-world ‘church of darkness’ was “the great movement of apostasy ...”.

II.

CHAPTER INTERLUDE

We are now very close to the second part of this chapter on DECEPTION: an investigation into what is known about the *transition* from the earlier appearance of a religion-orientated movement to its present-day stunning climax; from a ‘brotherhood’ in religious fraternity to ‘The Brotherhood’ behind political one-world dictatorship.

But first, since evil-doers and plotters are *scattering in the wind*, because they are not *collecting* with Christ, no matter how successful they may appear to be, it is very instructive and consoling to be shown a glimpse of how *the little Eucharistic Lamb*, and not the ‘Brotherhood’, is in charge of the direction of world events. Totally unbeknown to the arch-plotters, for they cannot attend to every detail, their Louvain meeting gave away a clue so important as to reveal the true nature of that gathering already made known by Our Lord *more than 150 years previously!* The revealing detail is central to the whole message of the ‘World Conference for Religion and Peace’, and was expressed in the first of its Louvain meetings in these words:

“We appeal to the religious communities of the world to inculcate the attitude of PLANETARY citizenship, the sense of our human solidarity (in the redeeming Blood of Our Saviour? Or in the Fatherhood of God? No,) in the just sharing of the food, the energy and all the *material* necessities of existence ...”. [From ‘The Louvain Declaration’, in the *Catholic Register*, Toronto, September 21, 1974.]

Remember, this is a ‘World Conference on RELIGION’, but the jargon is so obviously ‘of this world’, for the formation of a one-world economic and political order, with no longer any thought towards ‘a citizenship in the Kingdom of Heaven’ or in the ‘City of God’, that Our Lord, with the unsuspected use made here of this word “*planetary*” alone, has revealed for us His Divine Judgement on these matters, as a guide for our own thinking after careful study.

In a book by Fr. K. E. Schmoeger, CSsR, of which the German original received an Imprimatur in 1867, and the French translation an Imprimatur in 1868, (the latter Imprimatur rather unique, as the French translation was made by no other than the Vicar General of the Cathedral of Versailles) we read the following:

September 12, 1820. “*I saw a fantastic, odd-looking ‘church’ being built. The choir was in 3 parts and under it was a deep vault full of fog. I saw no Angel helping in the construction, but numbers of the most VIOLENT PLANETARY spirits dragging all sorts of things into the vault, where*

persons in little ecclesiastical mantles received them and deposited them in their various places. Nothing was brought from Above: all came from the earth and the dark region, and all was built up by planetary spirits. I saw an enormous number of instruments brought into the 'church' and many persons, even children, had different tools, as if trying to make something; but all was obscure, absurd, DEAD. Division and destruction reigned everywhere. I saw that many of the instruments, such as spears and darts, were meant to be used against the Living Church. Everyone dragged in something different ...

The men in the little mantles brought wood to the steps of the pulpit to make fire. [Listen to this!] They puffed and blew and laboured hard, but the fire would not burn: all they produced was smoke and fumes. Then they broke a hole in the roof and ran up a pipe, but the smoke would not rise and the whole place became black and suffocating.. ALL IN THIS 'CHURCH' BELONG TO THE EARTH. All was dead, the work of human skill, a 'church' of the latest style, a 'church' of man's invention like the new heterodox 'church' in Rome."

November 12, 1820. *"I passed over a dark, cold country to a large city, and I saw again the great odd-looking 'church' with nothing holy about it AND INNUMERABLE PLANETARY SPIRITS labouring at it. I saw a 'spirit' on high drawing lines and tracing figures, and down below the design, the plan, immediately carried out. I saw the influence of the proud, planetary spirits in their relation with the building extended TO EVEN THE MOST DISTANT PLACES. All the steps deemed necessary or useful to the construction and maintenance of the 'church' were taken IN THE MOST REMOTE COUNTRIES, and men and things, doctrines and opinions contributed thereto. The whole picture was coloured with intense selfishness, presumption and VIOLENCE. I saw not a single Angel or Saint helping in the work. Far away in the background I saw the throne of a savage nation and a figure saying in mocking terms: 'Build it as solidly as you please, we shall overturn it!'"*

[From the *Life of the Ven. Servant of God, Anna Catharina EMMERICH*. English translation by Maria Regina Guild, 1885 and 1903, pp.282-284.]

It is noteworthy, in context, to be told that His Holiness Pope PIUS IX *ordered* the Italian translation to be made from the advanced proof-sheets of the German text.

There is a welter of information about our times to be gleaned from this extraordinary life, and I am certain that we would render ourselves a great service in our tribulations, so well understood and foreseen by this wonderful servant of God, if we prayed *"that she may be canonised BY our times for all she has done and suffered FOR our times ..."*. For it appears that, 160 years ago, the Venerable

Anna Catharina Emmerich had a far clearer understanding of what was going on in our times than many of our ecclesiastical dignitaries have today. That this is not surprising is made very clear by her in subsequent sections of her fascinating life-story.

III. THE STAGES OF THE DECEPTION

Enlightened by the foregoing, we will have no trouble finishing off the jigsaw puzzle. The 'Brotherhood' for a One-World dictatorship was first known also as 'The Illuminati', 'The council of Elders', 'The Martinists' (to which the late Pierre TEILHARD DE CHARDIN belonged), etc. [The 'First Beast', the *political* tyranny in the *Book of Revelation*, ch. 13, of St. John.] To cash in on the deep-rooted hunger in a spiritually starved humanity for communion with God, 'THEY' decided not only to work '*through the secular*': the International Bankers, the Council of Foreign Relations, the Institute of Pacific Relations, the tax-exempt Foundations, the United Nations, the Club of Rome, the secular press, the humanist universities, the political parties, but to work also '*through the sacred*': through 'religion', creating a fraternity of all religions, the World Council of Churches, the National Council of Churches, [the odd-looking 'church of darkness' in the visions of Anna Catharina Emmerich], and through the teilhardian, modernist 'church', masquerading as a true interpretation of Catholicism, [the other, newfangled, heterodox 'church' she described]. This was their second arm, or, to give 20th century confirmation to St. John, the 'Second Beast'. Although in time it appeared *second*, in the public eye it appeared as The Brotherhood's first pathway, but with the unwavering aim to achieve its first objective: a one-world dictatorship. What could give more confirmation to the accuracy of the Revelations of St. John, who told us that 'a religious-looking evil': 'looking like the Lamb, but speaking like the Dragon', would do everything in its power to subjugate the world 'to the First Beast': a politically-minded evil? According to St. John, then, the vision of and preparation for a socio-political one-world dictatorship came first, but it created the one-world 'church' as its second arm, making out as if the religious unity of mankind grew spontaneously first in preparation of a longed-for political unity.

Herein lies the deceit. For, as we have seen from the Louvain meeting, there really is no longer any religion, not even any talk about it! Careful planning, selective choice of suitable 'delegates', and an iron fist of coercion and ruthless regimentation, have all been used to replace the holy Spirit to smooth the way for the merger of the socio-political 'Brotherhood' with the 'religious' one, and to keep the two wedded together forever. And now that the Second Beast, the one-world 'church of darkness', has openly and distinctly fused with the socio-political beast, there is no longer any need for pretence, only force and caution.

But somewhere along the line there must have occurred a subtle (?) transition, where the deceit was at its peak, and where people for the first time accepted the POLITICAL ends of the ‘Brotherhood’ as the RELIGIOUS ones. If that period of transition can be found, then the aims and reasons for the deceit will be laid bare and become very simple indeed. And then the full force of St. John’s prediction will be felt by mankind: when the ‘religious-looking Beast’ will do everything in its power to crush humanity under the global tyranny of Antichrist. When so-called ‘religion’ will assume and enforce ‘politics’...

There are several pieces left over to complete the picture on the jig-saw puzzle:

- (1) “If world unity is to be attained, it must be through International Communism, which can only be arrived at by the slogan: ‘Banish God from the skies, and capitalists from the earth’. Then, and only then, will there exist a complete Fellowship of Faiths.”

This impossible and untenable contradiction was seriously propounded by (don’t laugh) Bishop William Montgomery BROWN, spokesman for the ‘World Fellowship of Faiths’, at their First World Congress, Chicago, 1933. What does it say?

- “*World Unity*”. This is a political concept.
- “*International Communism*” is at best a politico-economic system, and at worst an arch-enemy of freedom and religion.
- “*Banish God from the skies*” is a blasphemous anti-religious concept, and a totally futile one at that.
- “*and (banish) capitalists from the earth*” is a murderous anti-social concept.

And this blatantly *political, anti-religious and anti-God* program is pompously and seriously being advocated by a *bishop*, a man-of-God, as the sole condition for the final establishment of a purely *religious* reality: the ‘Fellowship of Faiths’. But this global ‘religion’ must be completely devoid of God, since He ought to be banished. So, this bishop’s religious concept of ‘faith’ is a fraudulent one! What else is this type of ‘world faith’ but naked politics?

What this piece of nonsense DOES contain, then, is a *complete identification of the political ‘Brotherhood’ with the so-called ‘religious Brotherhood’*. A *fusion of the First Beast and the Second Beast*. But worst of all: it did not cause a ripple. If delegates can swallow that, they can swallow anything from then on. They had obviously accepted it as a fact-of-life that it was in their best interest to swallow this fusion of a ‘one-world religion’ with one-world politics.

If ever a man ‘looks like the Lamb’, it is a bishop. If ever a bishop ‘spoke like the Dragon’ it was this one, and the many ones like him ...

- (2) “Communism, on the other hand, can be regarded as a serious candidate for the title of a WORLD RELIGION ...”

Words uttered by Sir Julian HUXLEY in the Presidential Address to the *First International Congress on Humanism*, Amsterdam, 1952. Apparently words can be made to mean anything. The ‘slogan’ contains the same fusion of unacceptable contraries as in (1) above, with the same identification of political and ‘religious’ slavery and the same inevitable result *that it was accepted!*

No wonder St. John could predict some 2000 years ago that one day “the whole world would run after the beast”. This is the type of crooked thinking that will hail ‘the Beast’, and if a one World Congress accepts it, a subsequent World Congress is not going to reject it, if both are organised *by the same organisation: the same ‘Brotherhood’ ...*

- (3) 1951 saw yet another one of those ‘world’ congresses; this time the *World Spiritual Communist Congress*, organised by the VEDANTA Movement and convened in London. The aim was to air before the world a couple of articles of faith of this VEDANTA group:
- (i) that it stood for the spiritual and material unity of all mankind, and that it was fully convinced that ‘*spiritual communism*’ is the fullest expression of Universal Brotherhood (!), and
 - (ii) that it called for the development of the United Nations into a World Federal Government, “with the socialisation of the means of production, distribution and exchange *without compensation!* As the basis of a sound economic system ...”.

The key-word in this whole mesmerising mish-mash is once again “planetary citizenship”. Since it is the key-word, God seized on it and showed Anna Catharina EMMERICH what exactly ‘building a new religion for political purposes’ really involved. It is instructive to learn from contemporary literature how the coining of the word and the idea, as expressed in the above: ‘*spiritual communism*’, has fused the two appearances of ‘the Beast’. This explains why all those who are stubborn enough to try to keep the two sides of the one coin separate are deluding themselves, and will find themselves helplessly dragged along the road as ‘fellow travellers’. It is naive to maintain that it is possible to accept only one side of the coin, e.g. to go along with political idea of, say, ‘one-world week’, whilst trying to ignore the other side, dissociating oneself from the religious implications. It mystifies Catholics, including priests and bishops, who are as unfamiliar with the Catholic Church’s Social Teaching as they are with

contemporary deception-literature, why this concept of 'planetary citizenship' is only vaguely disquieting and seems harmless enough in this age of jets and computers.

They fail to grasp that in the future many Catholics are going to be liquidated, ostensibly for rejecting the political unity symbolised by 'planetary citizenship'; but in reality they will be *martyred*, because in the Supernatural Light of their Catholic Faith, they clearly see they are requested by God *to reject the 'religious' side of the same coin!* The world will be told that they deserve annihilation because they are opposed to the 'political unity' represented by the image of the First Beast, stamped on one side of the coin; but in reality they will be crucified for their Faith which clearly tells them that it is impossible to accept the political tyranny without apostasy. Without adoring the Beast in its temple: the one-world 'church of darkness', created by the Second Beast and stamped on the reverse side of the same coin...

We do not have to look very far to find out where this diabolical master stroke of genius comes from: this creation of a dual system, which allows the persecution of people for their Supernatural Faith whilst proclaiming to all the world their rejection of the religious unity of the world makes them betrayers of the political unity of mankind, *and thus its number one enemy*. Even if they never opened their mouth against the political and economic slavery under Antichrist: because of their rejection of his 'religious' unity of mankind, they will still become *political* prisoners, and they will be liquidated for their 'crimes' against the political unity of mankind, deprived of the honour and consolation of dying for their Faith ...

Far fetched? Read on!

- (4) "The BAHA'I revelation is the spirit of the age. It is the essence of all the highest ideals of this century. The Baha'i cause is an inclusive movement; the teachings of all religions and societies are found there. Christians, Jews, Buddhists, Mohammedans, Zoroastrians, Theosophists, Freemasons, Spiritists, etc., find their highest aims in this cause. Socialists and philosophers find their theories fully developed in this revelation."

This boast came from the pen of one ABDU'L-BAHA, son of the self-appointed founder of the Baha'i faith. This faith is so close to the ideas of 'The Brotherhood', and is followed by so many millions around the world, who not only know nothing about this 'faith' or its origin, but would quite readily believe that it is an expression of their own vague religious convictions, that ever since the origins of it in the middle of last century, it had the ideal chameleon properties for any *political* organisation wanting to dominate the world through '*religion*'.

Three things stand out:

- (i) (Must we repeat it? Baha'i policy is identical with official communist policy as laid down by Stalin and Lenin;
- (ii) the Baha'i were the first to clamour for a world police force *and for world citizenship*. BAHÁ'U'LLAH, the founder, has stated:

“It is not for him to pride himself who loveth his own country but rather for him who loveth the whole world.” [From *World Faiths*, Summer, 1977.]

And:

“The earth is but one country, and mankind its citizens ...”.

- (iii) The enforced ‘unity’ of all religions is foreshadowed by mentioning several by name, which are portrayed as having already benefited from it...

If ‘Planetary Citizenship’ is still being portrayed as an article of ‘religious faith’ (which, as already stated, blends in easily with other religions’ beliefs), and we hear Communists and their fellow-travellers claiming an identical ideology *for political purposes*, cashing in on the aspirations of the millions who see nothing wrong in it, then we may start to appreciate

that ‘PLANETARY CITIZENSHIP’ is the door through which both the First and Second Beast have access to minds where they have no business.

People open to this idea will readily read up on whatever literature is available on the subject, and so they become uncritical and easy prey for other deceptions formulated as ‘reasonable’ policies and decisions, taken by all those ‘inter-faith’ meetings.

Finally:

- (5) “Under Communism, humanity will reach the time when national distinctions and national languages will disappear, and a merging of the nations will come about.” [From *Bourgeois Nations and Socialist Nations*. Foreign Language Publishing House. Moscow, 1954.]

And:

“The aim of Socialism is the elimination of the fragmentation of humanity in petty states and the individualism of nations. Not only the

coming closer together of nations, but there merger or fusion.” (Lenin, 1916.) [From *Time*, September 20, 1968.]

Here, then, we have the Communist version of ‘Planetary Citizenship’ and its ultimate objectives. Since Baha’i policy is indistinguishable from it, whoever tries to follow a ‘religious’ ideal in this area, or vice versa, accepting a political ideal without the obvious ‘religious’ overlayers, is deluding himself since the so-called ‘religious’ path to planetary citizenship is fused with the Communist one, as God revealed to Anna Catharina EMMERICH.

IV. CONCLUSION

Australian Catholics seem irrevocably wedded to these ‘ideals’ through the thoughtless and hasty EPISCOPAL CONFERENCE decision to give unqualified support to *One-World Week*, sponsored almost exclusively by the Australian Council of Churches. Since it is a foregone conclusion that this decision will not be reversed, the KEY-IDEA has now not only been adopted by the bishops at large, but has been implanted as a trigger, into the minds of millions of others as an innocent enterprise. Through this door untold other equally seemingly innocuous ideas will penetrate into the subconscious minds of many Catholics, by which gradual dissociation from authentic Catholic Teaching will be achieved.

Since PLANETARY CITIZENSHIP and the ONE-WORLD MOVEMENTS are so obviously *political*, with barely a flavour of religion left in them, (which, as we know, was a totally false ‘religion’ anyhow) it is distressing that later on Catholics will have to stand up and dissociate themselves from these seemingly petty, but for ‘The Beast’ important POLITICAL issues, because of the cast-iron condition attached to them: *that all ‘planetary citizens’ (the First Beast, the political side of the one coin) have one ‘planetary religion’, (the Second Beast, the ‘religious’ side of the coin. Same coin: same Beast.)* And this stipulation makes the whole idea of ‘planetary citizenship’ anything but innocent! This unacceptable clause makes it mandatory to Catholics and other sincere Christians to reject it. Their rejection of apostasy will be played down, their opposition to political unity will be implied, and maximised, and will be much better understood and accepted by the masses, ‘since the whole world will run after the Beast ...’.

“Nothing can stop the advent and appearance of the ultimate physical form ...” (Mrs. Bailey, 1933.)

Granted. But *SOMEBODY* can. The One to whom it is given ‘to crush the serpent’s head: him, and his seed’.

It is time we turned to Her.

Chapter Two

Not Deceived

There are several reasons why the important matters set out in the previous chapter fail to impress a great majority of Catholics nowadays.

For one, “things are never eaten as hot as they are served”. It is human to dismiss as exaggerated that which is not obvious to many. When the time comes, things will have cooled down a little, and we will deal with the situation as best we can.

Secondly, to those not affected by it, any discussion on ‘deception’ and ‘conspiracies’ is boring. We put them aside for the time being, to get absorbed in everyday living, ‘leaving the future to God ...’.

Lastly, these abstruse things are really only clearly perceived in the Supernatural Light of Catholic Faith, and – sad but true – there are, in our times, only too many who have neglected this priceless gift from God, and who no longer can tell the difference between Catholic Faith and any other faith. How would they know, if they have lost their Catholic Faith? Are changing it? Or are in the process of losing it? And in the ensuing darkness of mind they fail to see *that this loss of Catholic Faith* is precisely the purpose of the total war, declared by the enemy on the Catholic Church. And for this, they have only themselves to blame, for the Church knew of the attack and supplied us with strategies and remedies in plenty of time.

So, whatever reason is given for non-involvement: on closer scrutiny we will always discover at the bottom of it some erosion of Catholic Faith, and thereby some success of the enemy’s strategy. For all Catholics are automatically involved simply because they are in the possession of that rare and priceless pearl: the one and only thing the enemy-in-the-know wants to relieve them of: their Catholic Faith ...

Take .e.g. the very plausible answer to (2) above: ‘leaving the future to God ...’. ‘Futures’ are shaped and prepared by ‘presents’. If a strong measure of Catholic Faith, by their own admission is necessary later, then it must be prepared for now! If they want God to look after their future, if they need Divine Assistance in the future, then they must lay the foundations for that assistance

now. But are they doing that by getting engrossed in everyday living? Have a look at the enemy himself. Just as the enemy is preparing NOW for a take-over LATER, taking *appropriate* steps without allowing himself to get sidetracked by the pleasures and cares of this world, so a strong resistance later must be appropriately prepared for now. There are many ‘foolish virgins’ around who neglect to take an adequate supply of oil with them now for later use and who leave it too late to do anything about it! The 5 originals in the Gospel too ‘left the future to God’, but in the parable which contains Divine Wisdom, God did not supply them with the oil they lacked, and which they refused to acquire in the appropriate time of preparation ...

These considerations, then, make the subject-matter of the previous chapter extremely important for a number of reasons.

Firstly, forewarned is forearmed. If so far one has managed to steer clear from any attempt at deception the Evil one had in mind for one’s particular circumstances, thank God, but beware. The enemy is very persistent and clever. It is really one who is only kept at bay by fasting and prayer. (Our Lord, Our Lady at Fatima!) There will always be another day with another design.

Moreover, we would never think our fellow man in mortal danger, (and consequently would not think it necessary to come to his aid), if we ourselves would be totally ignorant of the danger.

Next, if we wish to help our fellow man to get himself disentangled from the stranglehold of the enemy, we need to be acquainted with the latter’s tactics and strategies, which presupposes some study in depth.

Finally, many catholics are there, in the company of deceit and subversion *because they want to be there!* Which means that, if we want to follow Our Lord’s example Who did not leave us in the powers of darkness, we will not only have to do battle with the enemy, *but with the ignorance of the victims as well.* All this demands some knowledge of the climate of deception in which we live, and in which we have to work out our own as well as our brothers’ salvation.

What the subject-matter of the first chapter should have brought home to us very forcefully is, that there must be a staggering amount of people in the Christian camp, who profess to believe in Jesus Christ, yet are part and parcel of the network of deception; actively engaged in its soul-destroying mission. This raises by necessity grave doubts in the minds of onlookers: ‘Do these self-professed christians really believe in Jesus Christ as the Son of God, Who has a prior claim on their fidelity *in adhering to the authentic Spirit of His Revelation?*’ And further – and even more so in the case of catholic participants in the global work of THE BROTHERHOOD: ‘Do these people seriously believe, that Our

Lord and Saviour left behind on earth an Authority to preserve the authentic interpretation of that Revelation, which Authority must bind in conscience if it is to perform its saving function?’

The thrust of the question can bluntly be put like this:

‘If a public proclaiming of Christ, yes, even a public profession in Christ, did not prevent the existence of the most divergent and even contradictory streams in Christianity, and did not guard many against deception and delusion, then what will?’

To find the answer, we will have to look to the Catholic Church for guidance.

I. THE CATHOLIC CHURCH’S TEACHING ON FAITH

CATHOLIC FAITH is different from any other faith on earth. That makes Catholic Faith unique. It is the only Faith on earth of which the acts of Faith are being done in submission, in obedience and humility to the teachings of the Catholic Church. Many people believe in God for whatever reason; a Catholic believes in God *because the Catholic Church teaches him there is a Trinity in God*. Many people who *profess* to believe in Jesus Christ, also seem to claim that they can please themselves if they believe in His Divinity or not. A Catholic believes in the Divinity of Christ, because the Catholic Church teaches him the Christ is the Second Person of the Blessed Trinity, co-substantial as God with the Father and the Holy Spirit. Therefore, just as a Catholic believes that God exists, that Christ is bodily present in the Blessed Sacrament, that Our Blessed Lady is ever-virgin, *because the Catholic Church teaches this*, so also does he believe *with Catholic Faith* ‘that artificial means of contraception are always intrinsically evil’, because that is the solemn and official teaching of the Catholic Church. If a Catholic ceases to believe what the Catholic Church teaches, when he starts to pick and choose, accepting some teachings and rejecting others, then he loses his Catholic Faith and so ceases to be a Catholic. The classic example of our times is Fr. Hans KUNG who, according to official pronouncements of the Holy See, is no longer entitled to call himself a catholic theologian. [For ‘*A Common Misconception*’, see APPENDIX A.]

When through holy Baptism a human being becomes a child of God, sharing in God’s Divine Nature, ie. Supernatural Life, then, with this new Eternal Life, the baptised person also receives the *Supernatural Faculties* which enable him or her properly to live that new life for God and with God. Just as we need organs and senses: the brain, the heart, eyes, etc., and natural faculties like intelligence and free will, to live a fully *human* life; so, ‘the new creation in God’, receives from God, Supernatural Faculties to fully live the new Supernatural Life received from God as a share in His own Divine Nature.

The first and foremost Faculty is the Supernatural, infused, Divine Virtue of Faith, which is a share in the Divine Intelligence, a Supernatural Light; which enables the fortunate recipient to ‘see’ what God sees and knows, and to believe what God has revealed. *Catholic* Faith, then, is the firm belief, that Christ founded a visible Church on earth and that He vested in the Head of that Church *His own* Divine Authority to authentically *proclaim and interpret* the Sacred Truths He had revealed on earth and had left behind for the salvation of mankind. Thus, for Catholic Faith to exist and flourish, the Magisterium of the Church, that is Her Teaching Authority, is indispensable. So indispensable that Christ guaranteed its *infallibility*.

There is a text in Holy Scripture which gives to this Supernatural Faculty and its acts, Acts of Faith, its overriding importance. “*Faith*,” says the holy author of the ‘Letter to the Hebrews’, “*without which it is impossible to please God*”. [Hebr. 11:6.]

In the aftermath of the Reformation, faced with the splintering of faith in the Protestant churches after their break-away from the mother Church, *the Council of TRENT* was determined to settle beyond doubt the contentious question of ‘Faith’. It declared most solemnly with the Authority of Christ Himself:

(1) How to interpret Hebr. 11:6, with these words:

“*It is our CATHOLIC Faith without which it is impossible to please God*”.
[Denz. Schnm. 1510.]

Before the Reformation, Catholic Faith was synonymous with Christian Faith. Since the Protestant Reformers made a distinction in this, and rejected Catholic Faith, it was up to the Church to authentically declare which faith was the true Christian Faith, coming down to us from the Apostles. The new ‘christian faith’ of the Reformers was alien to Christianity prior to the Reformation.

(2) Why Catholic Faith is such a priceless gift from God, with these words:

“...*because Faith is the beginning of Salvation of man, the foundation and root of all justification, and ‘without which it is impossible to please God’.*”

By quoting again the words from Hebr. 11:6, which earlier the Council had taught must be taken as meaning *Catholic* Faith, the Council reminds us that She is referring here to *Catholic Faith* as being the beginning, foundation, and root of all justification. Only *one* thing can be the beginning, root and foundation of something else. By including the word ‘*all*’ the Council categorically states here

that Catholic Faith is absolutely necessary not only for the salvation of Catholics, but for everybody's (*ALL*) salvation! The council does not say that Protestant faith cannot save. But it does say that Protestant Faith cannot save *on its own*, but depends on the existence of Catholic Faith on earth in order to save those who, by no fault of their own are not baptised into the Catholic Church. The submission, obedience and humility to the Catholic Church's teaching shown by *Catholic* Faith are so pleasing to God, that on the strength of that He will extend the saving grace of Justification to anyone who sincerely seeks Him and tries to believe in Him with another faith.

It does not mean that everybody has to be a Catholic in order to be saved. But it DOES mean that everybody who finds himself saved in Heaven, will thank the beginning, root and foundation of his salvation to the Catholic Church and the Catholic Faith within Her. That Faith has its origins at the very beginning of Christianity: *Faith in the Church founded by Christ!* None of the other contenders after the break-away Reformation could qualify for this linear descendancy since no reformer required 'faith' in a church from then on. Consequently no faith was stable for long after that.

This directly means that, if Catholic Faith was to be removed from the earth, no other christian faith, or any other faith, would be pleasing to God; as no other faith is made in submission, obedience and humility to the Catholic Church. If a non-catholic goes to heaven, he will find on arrival before the throne of God, that God justified him NOT on the strength of his own defective faith; but on the strength of the perfect Faith found in our Holy Mother the Catholic Church. This Church alone is the source of all Graces necessary for salvation: everybody's salvation.

Hence the murderous attack on Catholic Faith by MODERNISM.

This solemn teaching of the Catholic Church on Faith in Her, which pertains to Her very foundation, is therefore infallible teaching: teaching infallibly true as having been revealed by Christ. Christ demanded that His authority should be vested in Peter, in the Papacy, *and consequently in the Church headed by Peter, by the Papacy. Christ could never demand Faith in this Authority, if this Authority could fail.* IF THIS CHURCH IS INFALLIBLE IN ITS MOST IMPORTANT TEACHING, THEN THIS CHURCH IS NEITHER DECEIVED NOR DECEIVER. NEITHER, THEN, IS FAITH IN HER DECEIVED.

Here then emerges the beginning of our answer to the question posed earlier: 'How is it possible that there appear to be so many who, whilst professing to believe in Christ, yet are deceived?'

They can be deceived because they do not believe the way Christ willed them to believe: through Faith in His Catholic Church. Only the Catholic Church demands with the Authority given to Her by Her Founder, that Her believers believe in Her teaching with submission of mind and will, in obedience and humility. Not as a consensus to agree with some majority, but with a consensus to agree with the Teaching Authority itself. Disagree in the Catholic Church with the Magisterium itself, even if you appear to have a noisy majority with you, and you cease to profess your Catholic Faith, the beginning, root and foundation of everybody else's salvation. And if this dissent reaches far enough to include DOGMA: teaching which not only is infallibly true, but has been officially declared to be infallibly true, then you cease to be a Catholic altogether, since you have lost your Catholic Faith ...

If the consequences of keeping one's Catholic Faith wholly intact are of staggering importance, so are the consequences of giving it away equally momentous. For both cases are a matter of life and death.

We may now start to appreciate the existence of *global* Evil around us far more bent on the creation of a deceitful *planetary religion*, than on the creation of a one-world dictatorship! The latter creates only misery for this life; the former for Eternity ... For this, the matter of 'non-deception' is of ABSOLUTE importance, which was not left by Christ to CHANCE! Non-deception starts with the priceless gift of supernatural, infused, Divine, CATHOLIC Faith, "the beginning, root and foundation of ALL justification, and without which it is impossible to please God". ... But there the matter does not rest.

II. THE CATHOLIC CHURCH'S TEACHING ON PHILOSOPHY

THE CATHOLIC CHURCH appears to be the only Religion which is prepared to give full credit to the human intellect in relation to Supernatural Faith, even allowing for a certain weakening of its penetrating power after the Fall. Human thinking is done according to unbreakable rules willed and established by our Creator, which rules cannot be transgressed without damage to the thinking.

The Catholic Church considers this important, as the human mind is the seat, the natural *substratum*, for the precious gift of the divinely infused Faith. A PHILOSOPHY studies those Laws and Rules of Thinking, and so it is for the Catholic Church of the utmost importance *which philosophy* is being adopted, since an unsound, defective philosophy will lead to crooked thinking, which in turn prevents the necessary harmony between Faith and its seat; the human intellect.

As we have already seen, the Catholic Church is not deceived in those grave matters pertaining to Faith; that is, pertaining to Catholic Faith in Her. Her views

on Philosophy, and so Her teaching on THOMISM, ie. The Philosophy and Theology of St. Thomas Aquinas, must be considered with the utmost willingness to comply with that teaching. This is not so much because the Catholic Church is concerned with Philosophy as such, but because She is very concerned about the integrity of Catholic Faith; which integrity is impossible to maintain, if that Faith is to be harnessed to the wrong philosophy, to the wrong ideas of reality and to the wrong interpretation of thinking itself. The way the human mind touches on reality is decisive, and our Holy Mother the Catholic Church cannot leave explanations of these matters to chance, doubts and opinions. Consequently, She cannot err in deciding for us which Philosophy contains the Truth about the human mind's acquisition of true knowledge and understanding.

Since nothing on earth can be more important than the *beginning*, the *foundation*, and the *root* of *all* justification; that is, Catholic Faith, the Church's teaching on the preservation and safeguarding of Catholic Faith must be just as absolutely true as Her teaching is about the nature of Faith itself. If, then, for the last 100-odd years the Church has steadfastly taught us that in this area nothing is more important than THE PHILOSOPHY OF ST. THOMAS AQUINAS, we do well, then, to take in this teaching with the utmost seriousness. In my book on *THE FOUNDATIONS OF OUR CATHOLIC FAITH* I have gone through the most important documents of the Church to put before my readers the grave reasons the Catholic Church has brought forward in support of Her teaching on THOMISM.

According to the Church, St. Thomas Aquinas explains to us systematically, and with consistent correctness, how the human mind can know God from His created reality; how that same mind can know itself and is able to discover the rules that govern its thinking activity; how the human mind can correctly understand that man is composed of body and soul' and is capable of fathoming the true nature of soul: a created spirit capable of independent existence after death, yet at the same time the life-giving principle of the human body without which it could not come into existence. In other words, the Catholic Church is satisfied that the teachings of St. Thomas on Truth, Morality, Reality, and the whole Unseen World, will lead one to the best preparation for the reception, the acceptance and the preservation of the gratuitous Grace of the Catholic Faith. This preparation, this training in clear thinking, this ground-work and natural anticipation, the Catholic Church calls – with St. Thomas – the *PRAEAMBULA FIDEI*, THE Preambles to the Gift of Faith, the Prelude, or Opening-up to this free Gift from God.

So impressed is our Holy mother the Church with this complete system of thought, which goes directly to the nature, the essentials and the Truth of things, that She has declared that this Philosophy, as a whole as well as in all its parts:

“seems to chime in, by a pre-established harmony, with Divine Revelation, ie. With Eternal Truths. No surer way to safeguard the First Principles of Faith.” [Humanii Generis, 1950.]

These words of Pope PIUS XII are awe-inspiring.

“For one carillon of pealing bells to be in perfect harmony with itself, is already a feast for the ears and an achievement of no mean merit. But here the holy Catholic Church is not talking about a Philosophy: a complete system of Truths, being wholly consistent and in perfect harmony with itself, but of a System of Harmonious Truths being totally consistent and in harmony *with a Divine System of Eternal Truths!* A whole carillon of earthly bells, pealing and chiming in, by a pre-established harmony, with the heavenly carillon of Eternal Truths: Father, Son and Holy Spirit. This is an accolade of such formidable genius that it can only be given to *one* Philosophy, to one philosophical system, as the Crown and glory of all attempts at human greatness: *the Philosophy of St. Thomas Aquinas ...* [THE FOUNDATIONS OF OUR CATHOLIC FAITH, pp.48-49.]

In the light of this teaching it is not hard to see that the Magisterium of the Catholic Church foresaw, long before the devastations became visible at the lower levels of the rank and file, that ‘the enemy of the human race and of human nature’ would launch its strongest attack on Catholic Faith *through natural thinking*. Through the wholesale rejection of the pinnacle of that thinking: the Philosophy of St. Thomas Aquinas. For, once the Philosophy “that chimes in with Revelation” was rejected, *nothing*, no other ‘philosophy’ or thought-system could take its place, since only one philosophy can “chime in with Revelation”. Once crooked thinking in defiance of St. Thomas’ philosophy would get hold of the human mind, it would be inevitable that the repercussions would be felt in the supernatural level of faith.

This, then, provides us with a further answer to our original question on deception in believers in Christ: *they have not guarded against the wrong philosophy*; against crooked thinking at the lower level; against adopting ways of thinking which militate against Truth, against proper evidence and the laws of reasoning. This way their Supernatural Faith lost its sound substratum; it got undermined, and they started to believe things which are at variance with the Church’s teaching. And since ‘Catholic Faith’, as we saw, is essentially bound up with the Catholic Church, they started to lose their Catholic Faith, whatever gains in other areas they may have thought they would make. Their defective and inadequate HUMAN thinking prevented them from “chiming in with Revelation”. And for that reason their Supernatural Thinking, their Catholic Faith, became defective as well.

III. THE CATHOLIC CHURCH'S TEACHING ON OUR LADY

Here we come across a curious phenomenon. *None of the modernists and teilhardians* at present time in the process of separating themselves from the Catholic Church in order to form their own branch of the one-world 'church of darkness', that 'church' "without dogma, without hierarchy, without discipline of the mind and curb on the passions" [Pope St. PIUS X], none of these modernists have any devotion to Our Blessed Lady at all. *They simply can't take Her with them into their new-fangled 'church'!* Is not this the Reformation repeating itself?

On the other hand, by far the great majority of the well-adjusted Catholics, with their steadfast Faith without confusion, their great Hope without dismay, and their unfailing Love even for the destroyers of everything they hold dear; these true and true Catholics, the salt of the earth, all have kept a deep and lasting devotion to the Mother of God. There is no deception possible here: the true is where Our Blessed Lady is, and only in this one Church is She sure to be surrounded by children wanting to go to Jesus through Her. Over the centuries, as we clearly see repeated today, great devastations in groups and individuals have been brought about by forms of 'renewal' and 'reformation' which rejected, amongst other things, the Church's sure teaching on Our Lady. Such renewals and reformations come from *outside* the Catholic Church as a form of deception from the Devil, "the father of lies". This can clearly be deduced from the fact, that the thought behind such a drive was and still is the conviction, that such a move would do God and Christ a real service in playing down the importance of His Mother. For behind this conviction is the deep-seated deception 'that this would enhance Christ'.

But such thinking and such a conviction not only rejects Our Blessed Lady: even more importantly for the salvation of souls, this thinking *rejects the Catholic Church!* For such a conviction could not be adopted without specifically rejecting clear and unambiguous Catholic teaching from the origins of Christianity. We have already established that the Catholic Church is not deceived in Her teaching, which means that the rejection of the Catholic Church *because of Her teaching*, must reveal a deception in Faith. From (II) above we know that such deception is brought about by erroneous thinking at the natural level: a deception of the human mind.

Let us substantiate this by producing the evidence.

The Tradition of the Church founded by Christ is undeniably MARIAN. And the one who kept that Tradition unbroken is – even today – the Catholic Church. In showing forth its own orthodoxy with regards to the Church's teaching on the Blessed Virgin Mary, VATICAN COUNCIL II went as far back as it is possible to go: to the teachings of ST. IRENAEUS, a disciple of ST. POLYCARP, who, in

turn, listened to the preaching of the Apostle ST. JOHN. According to that teaching, both the Eastern and Western Church believed on Apostolic Authority:

- (i) “that Mary is a cause of our salvation”;
 - (ii) “that Mary is the New Eve, undoing the ‘knot of Eve’s sin’”;
 - (iii) “that Mary is a model and proto-type of the Church”.
- [*LUMEN GENTIUM*, ch.8, No.56,63.]

Later centuries have undoubtedly enlarged the canvass started by this most ancient teaching of the Church. And with this teaching the devotion of all the ages of Christianity towards the Mother of God was firmly based on the most sound foundation and beginnings. For it was inspired, not so much on Mary’s passivity, ie. On what God had done for His humble Handmaid; but on Mary’s active cooperation with Grace, ie. On what Mary had done for God, and for us, and for our salvation. And no one can quibble with that, for, what Mary had done for God and for us, was *unique* ... Space does not permit us to enter here more fully into the incredible riches of this earliest teaching of the Catholic Church on Our Blessed Lady. I have done so in two previous articles: *Our Lady and Tradition*, guided by a penetrating study from the hand of a scholar and Priest, Fr. William G. Most: *Vatican II – Marian Council*, St. Paul Publications. But what concerns us most intimately here, I will bring out once again as follows.

We know St. Paul divulged Divine Revelation when, under the direct inspiration from God, he revealed to us the ‘New Adam – Old Adam’ parallel between Christ and our proto-parent Adam. (Rom.5:12-19.) This revelation includes the exact order-in-reverse of the Redemption as against the Fall, as well as the deep Mystique of the Suffering Servant of Yahweh, expiating the transgressions of many.

We know too that indirectly St. Paul, by revealing this parallel, could not help but point also *to a new Eve*; reversing the role of our proto-mother Eve; for it was impossible not to bring to the minds of the early Christians the roles of the New Eve and the First Eve, when pointing to a Divine Parallel between Christ and the First Adam.

But St. Paul went further and directly drew attention to the intimate relationship between Adam and Eve, *and the difference between them*. With this he gave us a clear indication of the intimate relationship between Christ and His New Eve, and of the difference between the beginning of the Fall and the beginning of the order-in-reverse, the Redemption, *precisely on the question that concerns us uppermost here: THE QUESTION OF DECEPTION* ...

In 1 Tim.2:14, St. Paul makes the following very profound observation which has a direct bearing on this question of *deception*, when he wrote:

“Adam was not deceived, *but the woman was deceived*, and that was the way she became a transgressor.”

Therefore, one of the very first things the early Christians were bound to associate with the *New Eve*: with the person brought to mind by this text, the woman partaking in the order-in-reverse, Redemption, was *NON-DECEPTION*. And that has stuck!

We already know that St. Paul had an accurate grasp of the opposite ways in which Sin and Salvation came into this world. Here he shows that he is well aware of the difference between the Sin of Eve and Adam's Sin, the latter only becoming *Original Sin*. A sin, not committed under any false illusion. By showing that he knew that the First Woman was deceived by listening to the Fallen Lucifer, St. Paul further reveals that he fully understood that Mary was not deceived by listening to the message of the Archangel that came to Her from God. No pressure was put on Her. Her decision had to be made in complete freedom and in total Faith in God, before God the Son took flesh in Her virginal womb.

After Eve's Sin, Adam's hands were tied: 'the knot of Eve'!

Before Mary's 'Fiat', 'Let it be done', God's Hands were tied, so to speak. He wanted to depend fully on Mary's free consent. And for the second time, for one moment, the fate of the whole human race was in the hands of a Woman: "Would She believe the Angel's story or not?" She believed, and obeyed ... And therein lies all the greatness of Womanhood. The 'knot of Eve' was undone, and the 'hands of God' were untied ...

And when the impact of this Revelation started to become understood by the early Church, there was no longer any holding back: "*THE VIRGIN WAS NOT DECEIVED*" ... And from that moment on, the Catholic Church 'bound herself to the Virgin Mary', so that, in time and eternity, Her children would also never be deceived. And could continue the work started by the New Eve: to undo the 'knot of Eve' in sinners, and to become, like Mary, 'a cause of salvation for the Human Race', in total obedience to Her Head and Her Groom, Jesus Christ. Since Mary is the Mother of the Lamb of God, there is no greater achievement for the Bride of the Lamb of God than to become like Her Mother and Model.

"Not deceived ..."

Now we have the full story. Now we know for sure why Catholics who, on the example of the Early Church, 'bound themselves to the Virgin', are not deceived, but have acquired a powerful relationship with Christ. Far from being alienated from Christ, these Catholics have trusted the teachings of His Church;

which include Her teachings on Our Blessed Lady, and have become exactly the Catholics Christ wanted them to be, modelled on the image and likeness of His Mother. For the Lady who was never deceived, and unfailingly followed Her Divine Son all the way to Calvary, can be trusted to do the right thing with His children.

And now we also know why people who run Our Lady down, or who dissociate themselves from a filial devotion to Her, are deceived, even if they imagine they are doing this to please Christ. For they not only reject devotion to Our Blessed Lady: *they reject official and traditional Catholic teaching!* Teaching that goes back, as we saw, to the time of the Apostles, ie. to the foundations of the Catholic Church. These unfortunate Christians are rejecting Apostolic Tradition in exchange for a 'church' which, to them, may still 'look like the Lamb', but in reality, 'speaks like the Dragon. A 'church of deception', into which it is impossible to take the Virgin who was never deceived.

Chapter Three

Bound To The Virgin

“*Bound to the Virgin ...*” With these words, taken from the end of the previous chapter, we have laid the foundation for the particular ‘*Way of Life*’, spoken of in the Foreword of this book, and to be studied in its pages: “*THE ‘TRUE DEVOTION’ OF ST. LOUIS GRIGNON DE MONTFORT*”.

We have come a long way for this.

The First Chapter should have convinced us that it is of the utmost importance *not to be deceived*. Not to be drawn by pretexts of ‘freedom’ and ‘human dignity’, to the looming one-world ‘church of darkness’. Not to forget that ‘*Planetary Citizenship*’ requires a *political religion* which demands apostasy from the Catholic Faith, as *none* of what is best, vital and unique in Catholicism has been taken over by the one-World ‘church’.

Ignorance of the Truth is not automatically synonymous with deception. When we are ignorant of the Truth, the magnificent virtue of *PRUDENCE* should stay our hand, and should keep us out of trouble until we have had an opportunity to study and ascertain the Truth. The Modernists who sincerely believe that you can fool all of the people all of the time, will always want you to rush into their latest gimmick with great enthusiasm, since they mistakenly believe that both the rush and the enthusiasm come from the Holy Spirit. [More about this in one of the APPENDICES at the end of this book.]

The Second Chapter was meant to put before us *how* the Holy Catholic Church wishes us to ascertain the Truth and stay with it.

- (i) The first thing to do is to use the magnificent faculty God endowed us with: the human mind, or intellect, and train it according to the tenets contained in the Philosophy of St. Thomas Aquinas. This Philosophy is built on the rules and principles the Creator has built into the human mind to guard it from error. Eg. The human mind will not accept that the two parts of a true contradiction are equally true. The Modernists have introduced into the Catholic Church hordes of propositions which contradict Catholic Teaching, wanting them to be accepted as ‘equally true’. Here the human intellect can give invaluable assistance to Catholic Faith. Long before ones Catholic Faith becomes involved in such

disputes, the clear-thinking mind should have rejected those preposterous ‘doctrines’ as unworthy of consideration, since they offend Catholic Truth, and so also the human intellect.

According to the truthful teaching of the Catholic Church, this beautiful Philosophy contains within itself the ‘Praeambula Fide’, that is; all that, which *opens up* the mind of man to Catholic Faith, prepares the way to it, makes him hungry for it, and will set him on the road in search of it. No wonder, then, that the Enemy of human nature was hell-bent on the destruction of this most powerful aid. For these Preambles of the Faith “chime in, by a pre-established harmony, with Revelation itself. *No surer way to safeguard the First Principles of the Faith itself!*” [Pope PIUS XII.]

- (ii) Once the priceless gift of Catholic Faith has been granted by Almighty God, then nothing of what was said in (i) above will be destroyed or rendered useless; *it is to be maintained at all cost*, to play its indispensable but subordinate role to the Supernatural Light of Faith itself. The supernatural way of coming to all the Revealed Truths and to stay with them, is to develop one’s Catholic Faith to the full by the usual means: prayer, penance, obedience to the Church’s Teaching Authority, the frequent reception of the Sacraments. In practice this means ‘staying with the Catholic Church’, making full use of all the natural and Supernatural means at one’s disposal. And in this age of rampant deceit and paralysing confusion, ‘staying close to the Virgin who was never deceived’: the Blessed Virgin Mary, Mother and Model of the Church founded by Christ.

Taken together these two means of coming to the Truth:

- (i) the clear thinking of the well-trained mind, and
- (ii) the super Light of a well-formed Catholic Faith,

are but two sides of the *one* coin: the invaluable possession of “*THE DISCIPLINE OF THE MIND*”, the absence of which was made by Pope St. PIUS X as one of the 4 hallmarks of the one-World ‘Church of Darkness’: that ‘church’ built on deception, the exact and total opposite of the Church, of which the blessed Virgin Mary is both the Mother and Model.

This combination of the fully developed natural and Supernatural Light in ‘the Discipline of the Mind’, is the most powerful thing on this earth. It will resist and finally overcome ‘the beast that looks like the Lamb but speaks like the Dragon’: that false, ‘political’ religion of ‘planetary citizenship’, which will do everything in its power to subjugate the human race to Antichrist, Satan’s most powerful seed.

It is my intention to view the ‘*True Devotion*’ of St. Louis Grignon de Montfort in the Light of the ‘*Discipline of the Mind*’. In the light of clear human thinking as well as in the Light of Catholic Faith. In the former I will advance the ‘*Praeambula*’: all that, which makes it intellectually satisfying; which makes it *naturally* attractive, and inducive to acceptance. In the latter I will bring out how the Supernatural Light of Catholic Faith will support the earlier findings, and will complete and sublimate this ‘way of life’ as viewed from Eternity.

And for those who still need an ‘Introduction’ to this most powerful aid to personal holiness, here are the authentic words of St. Louis de Montfort himself:

PERFECT CONSECRATION TO JESUS CHRIST

As all our perfection consists in being conformed, united, and consecrated to Jesus Christ, the most perfect devotion is, naturally, that which conforms, unites and consecrates us most perfectly to him.

And, as Mary is, among all creatures, the one most conformed to Jesus, it follows that devotion to Her is the one that best consecrates and conforms a soul to Her son. Therefore, *the more a soul is consecrated to Mary, the more it is consecrated to Jesus.*

This is why perfect consecration to Jesus is nothing less than perfect consecration to Mary – which is the devotion I teach and preach – or, let us say, the perfect renewal of the vows and promises of Baptism.

This devotion consists in giving oneself entirely to Our Lady, so that we may belong entirely to Jesus through Her. We must give Her our body, with all its senses and members; our soul, with all its faculties; our goods and riches and all we shall acquire; and all our inner assets, such as merits, virtues and the good works we have done or may do ... We must reserve nothing ...

I. THE PREAMBLE TO THE ‘TRUE DEVOTION’

Here it is our intention to bring the first part of the *Discipline of the Mind* to bear on the subject-matter at hand. To gather evidence to convince the human mind that the ‘*True Devotion*’ is based on natural truth, which makes it a good, desirable for the human will in its quest for its own ultimate end. If this devotion is such an outstanding spiritual good, as the numerous testimonies of competent Spiritual Directors make us believe it is, then it must be possible for the human mind to collect evidence to that effect, *which will make the acceptance in the Supernatural Light of Faith so much easier.* [The subject-matter of the second part of the ‘*Discipline of the Mind*’.]

Why this process of ‘Preambles’? Of finding natural truths and evidence first? Because, if this is not done, and, because of this neglect, a good has been rejected, then there is every chance that a first rate *Supernatural* Good could have been cast away. Imagine a priest refusing to have anything to do with the 6 Apparitions of Our Lady at FATIMA, and consequently rejecting their Supernatural value and origin. What a great spiritual good for himself and others is he missing out on. Where a proper investigation would have opened up the mind of that priest to Faith in those Supernatural realities, would have prepared the way for their acceptance in Faith, would have made him hungry for the Supernatural benefits, and would have put him on the road to a proper Supernatural investigation in the Light of Faith, (the second arm of the Discipline of the mind), there is now emptiness in disbelief.

On the other hand, imagine the great *spiritual* harm that would be prevented, if a proper investigation *on the natural level* protected a person from credulity, and from rashly following an unapproved ‘apparition’ ...

Let us then allow the human mind to do its homework first, and let it arrive at a *natural* understanding of the ‘True Devotion’, which is called the preamble to a proper Supernatural investigation, and consequent acceptance, in the Light of Faith. That was the way St. Thomas allowed the natural Truths of his Philosophy, his ‘preamble to the Faith’, to “chime in with Revelation”.

The late Frank DUFF, in a ‘Foreword’ to a particular edition of St. Louis de Montfort’s *True Devotion*, has done precisely what we are about to do ourselves: he has collected evidence, historical and otherwise, which makes the ‘True Devotion’ humanly credible and acceptable. And with a truly Thomistic, ie, properly trained mind, he brings out arguments in favour of the Devotion, and demolishes objections raised against it.

“Because Louis-Marie de Montfort is a latter-time Saint, many think that his system of devotion is a comparatively modern development. But he disclaims having originated the devotion or any aspect of it. He gives examples of persons who, 700 years before his own days, had made the Consecration after the same fashion he recommends. Moreover, he asserts that the idea was not new even then: he quotes Boudon as saying that it went still further back in that precise form. Finally he claims that the idea would spring naturally from the very foundations of Christianity. From this it is to be understood that the True Devotion is no new invention of a few centuries ago.”

This is the beginning of sound reasoning, and we must leave this particular historical argument rest here, as we have neither the place nor the time to bring

out all the evidence St. Louis and Frank Duff had for their assertions. But we will come back to this matter later on in the second part, when we will follow the reasoning, *aided by the Supernatural Light of Catholic Faith*, how exactly the True Devotion can be traced back to “the very foundations of Christianity”, ie. “how it chimes in with Revelation”. Let us first continue to use our natural intellect to follow another line of argument.

“This makes the True Devotion ancient enough, but possibly not enough to dispel the uneasiness of those who think that it, and Mariology in general, belong to an era of Catholic departure from primitive purity of doctrine. Most of that school assign the 5th or 6th century as the time when Mariology began to appear. When we analyse this accusation closely, it becomes evident that the date-line in their mind is the COUNCIL OF EPHEBUS (431 AD), and that they believe that it ushered in a new and incorrect tendency, which proceeded to take destructive possession.

But they are totally misconstruing that event and its surrounding situation. To the extent of *reversing the facts*. It is senseless to suppose that everything new in the way of doctrine began from Ephesus. The Council only put into the form of a definition something which the ordinary Catholic people had in perfect perspective, but which certain innovators were trying to twist out of its original shape. It was the NESTORIANS who were the disturbers and who were condemned. *It was the old belief which was defined and which continued.* Cardinal NEWMAN gave us a list of Saints from the 1st Century up to Ephesus whose utterances on this subject would be identical with what would be said after Ephesus. St. AUGUSTINE, addressing the Virgin, would typify them: ‘*He who made Thee, is made in Thee*’.”

This too is again a purely historical argument which does not involve doctrines of Faith, and can be verified and accepted by anyone who believes in the Truth, even if not endowed with the Supernatural Light of Faith. Frank Duff then continues with a SUPPOSITION, for which he brings out some evidence.

“I suppose that it was the conversion of the Empire about 300, which really threw the Church into its problems. The open proclaiming of the Faith brought with it the opportunity of criticising it and to dissent from it. This tendency would be stimulated by the fact that the wind of official favour would waft into Christianity many elements which were not fervent, and perhaps worse than that. So every day brought its new light and its new error, with corresponding need for correction by the Church. But where the other denominations of Christianity *deceive themselves*, is in the supposing that this process of correction and development represented a deviation from earlier perfection.”

Frank Duff is on solid ground here. Underlying the perpetual and sometimes vehement struggle is the determination of the ordinary Catholic Christians *to see the Ancient Doctrine kept in all its purity*, uncontaminated by newfangled twists which do not bear the stamp of Tradition. Prepared to do battle to prevent a spurious interpretation to come in and take over the original Faith, they look around for all the weapons common sense, history and Tradition will teach them have been effective in the past. And the weapon *par excellence* for the last 2000 years has been *recourse to the Mother of God, the Virgin who was never deceived*. ... It flies in the face of historical evidence for any Protestant to claim that he or she is the inheritor of Original Truth. On close scrutiny they will find out that they are the keepers *of the pruned-off false growths* ... We will come back to this more deeply (again) when dealing with DOCTRINE.

Historical arguments, as convincing as they are, are not the only recommendations to a singular devotion to Our Blessed Lady. We may point to an obvious advantage that it is wise and prudent to stay close to someone who has never been deceived. For, it is written in Holy Scripture:

“If you have found a man of understanding, visit him early, and wear out his doorstep with your frequent visiting”, [Eccles.6:36],

then how much more appropriate is that advice with respect to someone ‘who was *never* deceived’? If

“Blessed is the man who is mindful of wisdom,
And who sets himself to acquire understanding.
Who pursues her like a scout,
And lies in wait by her paths.
Who looks in on her through her windows,
And listens at her doors.
Who lodges close to her house,
And drives his tent pins in her walls”.

If

“Such a man pitches his tent at her side,
And selects her as his neighbour”.

If

“Whoever fears the Lord will act like this ...” [Eccles.14:20-15:1],

what, then, must be said about the one who acts like this in respect to the Blessed Virgin Mary, the Seat of Wisdom?

And finally, how appropriate to the subject-matter at hand: the total Consecration to Our Blessed Lady in order to become totally consecrated to Christ Himself, is the ready-made advice in one of the Books of Wisdom:

“But you, my son, put your feet into her (Wisdom’s) fetters,
And your neck into her harness.
Put your shoulders under her yoke and carry Her,
And be not irked by her bonds!
Yes, approach her with all your soul,
And keep her ways with all your might.
Go after her and seek her and she will reveal herself to you.
Once you hold her, *do not let her go!*
For in the end you will find rest in her,
And she will be your joy.
Her fetters will be your strong defence,
Her harness a robe of honour.
Her yoke will be a golden ornament,
Her reins, purple ribbons.” [Eccles.6:24-31.]

How more totally could one person be tied to another, on Divinely inspired advice? Fetters, harness, yoke, bonds, reins ... If created wisdom has often been identified, even in the earliest Church, with the Blessed Virgin Mary, then this total Consecration to Our Lady has not only lived as an inspiration in the earliest Christian times: it has, as all God’s genuine inspirations, its roots *deep in the Sacred Pages of the Old Testament!* This is sound, human advice that anyone who received from God the natural light of an ordinary intellect, can understand. Yet, the mystery is such, that even the greatest Light in Supernatural, Infused, Divine, Catholic Faith cannot fathom the Wisdom God has expressed in these Sacred Quotations. Which only goes to show that *both parts* of the Discipline of the Mind: the natural understanding as well as the Supernatural Insights, are necessary to comprehend the Wisdom of St. Louis de Montfort’s Consecration to Our Lady.

We have seen some of the ‘natural understanding’. Let us now turn our attention to the contemplation of the ‘Supernatural Insights’.

(II.) WHAT THE ‘TRUE DEVOTION’ REVEALS IN THE LIGHT OF FAITH

Encouraged, then, by the discovery that the natural light of human understanding can find no objections to the True Devotion, since it has Wisdom, Prudence and Truth on its side as well as a long history of adherents, we may feel confident that this ‘natural understanding’ of the True Devotion, this *preamble* to it, will ‘chime in with Revelation’. Now, in the Supernatural Light of our God-given Faith, we must further our investigations, and discover how God sees this

relationship between a soul and the Mother of His only-begotten Son. Two things stand out:

- (i) The ‘natural understanding’, however free from error, is in itself not enough, but only serves as a preamble to viewing the important matter at hand ‘sub specie Aeternitatis’: in the Light of Eternity.
- (ii) We are also conscious of the fact that, if the natural light of human intelligence had discovered any *contradiction, falsehood, immorality or any other reprehensible aspect to such a devotion*, a further investigation in the Light of Faith would be totally superfluous and unnecessary, as it would never make up for what God had already rejected as defective at the lower level. God’s Truth is but one, and it is the Eternal Crime of the modernists to force Catholics to live with severe contradictions at the level of their Faith, because of the adoption of grave falsehoods at the natural level, due to the teilhardian rejection of sound thomistic philosophy and principles. So great is the support and defence the Philosophy of St. Thomas gives to Catholic Faith, that anything which contradicts its principles and major tenets *will not chime in with Revelation!* This is the unanimous teaching of the Papacy, as is fully developed in the book: *THE FOUNDATIONS OF OUR CATHOLIC FAITH*.

There can be no doubt that in the Supernatural Light of Catholic Faith, the True Devotion of Consecration to the Blessed Virgin Mary shines forth with such a brilliance, that it throws its dazzling light in all directions. Out of this glorious Supernatural Panorama, I have only room here to select two aspects, which are of fundamental importance to the times in which we live and in which we have to work out our salvation.

- A. One aspect goes directly to the heart of the modern problem in the Church.
- B. The other pertains to the foundations of our Supernatural Life in God.

A. THE HEART OF THE MODERN PROBLEM: A POWER STRUGGLE

We know for certain, that on the strength of a false ‘philosophy’, ‘theology’ and ‘catechesis’, a new ‘faith’ is being proclaimed, which we have branded as being no longer the Faith handed down to us from the Apostles. But why? What exactly IS going on? We know of many timid and silent bishops, who are now distinctly siding with the Modernists and have become useless in the area of leadership. They have been overtaken by events, bypassed in understanding, and now the fight simply goes on over their heads.

“But what is the fight all about?”

We know of many weak-kneed pastors who have allowed totally unworthy and self-appointed ‘experts’ to run away with their liturgy and with their God-given authority over matters of education and discipline in their parishes, without a shot being fired. And once again we realise that the fight has to go on in spite of them. And again we ask:

“But what is the coveted prize?”

From the widespread paralysis in Ecclesiastical circles, we know *that a serious doubt has been cast* as to what constitutes the Catholic Church. The coveted prize is the CATHOLIC FAITH in Her. The Bride of the Lamb of God, our Holy mother the Catholic Church, has a contender next to Her: a Barabbas, a prostitute called the one-world ‘church of darkness’, clamouring for recognition. And deceit and confusion are weapons in the hands of the plotters inside and outside the Church, to enforce recognition for the harlot. This makes the ‘True Devotion’ one of the greatest gifts of Almighty God to our times. *For no such doubt* is in the minds and the Faith of the truly devoted to Our Lady. No doubt as to what constitutes genuine Catholic teaching, and genuine interpretations of VATICAN II, is found in those minds. The greatest gift for the Church Herself to be found in the widespread practice of the ‘True Devotion’ lies precisely in this: that it expands the love of the Children of Mary from the Model and Mother of the Church to the Catholic Church Herself. This line of defence made up of the “slaves of Mary” will never be broken.

They treat with contempt the rosicrucian syncretism and pseudo-scientific clap-trap which is being passed on as the new and exciting ‘catholic insights’ in philosophy, theology, catechesis and sex, yes, even with Imprimaturs, like the infamous ‘MELBOURNE GUIDELINES’, (AUSTRALIA) AND ‘CHOICES IN SEX’, (England). For they view it as a mockery in the sight of the Almighty to call these insights ‘catholic’ as much as they consider it an insult, to give the name ‘church’ to *the Great Apostasy*, which gives to these poisonous new insights both genesis and direction.

The practitioners of the True Devotion to Our Lady are with Her engaged in a struggle which has truly spanned the centuries ...

- (i) When the Romans started the persecution of the Young Church, it was not immediately because they hated Christ, Whom they had never seen, but simply because the ‘*other-worldliness*’ of the Christians proved to be incompatible with their own culture. And in that they saw a challenge and a threat ...

However, it must be conceded that the true meaning of that gigantic struggle, and possibly even its outcome, did not escape the discernment of highly intelligent men of that time. Men like PETRONIUS, who may have

appeared to outwardly give his approval to the Neronian attempts *to force Christianity to accept the Roman culture of the day as the sole form-giver, the matrix, of religion*, nevertheless had no illusions as to the bankruptcy of that matrix, incapable of producing anything more than corruption. And they viewed with interest the new vitality of the Christians.

The Romans lost because they failed in their attempts to identify Christianity with their pagan culture. Their leaders feared to lose *political* control, if they could not maintain a *political*, State religion ...

- (ii) And when the great religious battles of the 16th and 17th centuries raged relentlessly over Europe, finally resulting in the segregation of the Lutherans and Anglicans from the Catholic Church, the defeated camp lost again, because they too failed to identify Catholicism with the Renaissance culture of their days. 'EARTH' had been rediscovered, with its laws, art and scientific achievements. But this time, so they claimed, by a process of sublimation through the Christian spirit. "We all agree" could have been the thesis of the intelligensia of the time, "that it was a futile exercise trying to identify crass paganism of Antiquity with the new Christian spirit. But could not at last a 'REBORN ANTIQUITY': RENAISSANCE, baptised so to speak by christianity, be identified with the Church to make a truly christian civilisation?"

"NO", said the Sensus Catholicus from of old.

"YES", said the new Protestant elan of the day.

But before the 'new religion' found itself rejected as the *political religion* of the Princes, ousted from the Mother Church by the irresistible Force of the Holy Spirit, the battle raged for almost a century, spilling the blood and the fortunes of men. For Satan it was a humiliating defeat. But, unlike 'theologians', Satan learns

- (iii) "and then, taking Him to a very high mountain, he showed Him all the kingdoms of the world and their splendour. 'I will give you all this,' he said, 'if you fall at my feet and worship me'."

This temptation has been put to the Catholics of our days by Satan, the dethroned, self-appointed ruler of the world. This time it is not so much 'the earth', science, discoveries: this time it is much more. It is the Universe.

Today the temptation "to give to the world to the Church in exchange for 'another gospel', and to once again identify the Church with the current world culture, *evolution*," is formidable, and has proved to be too strong for millions of

‘proud, modern men’. For *evolution*, as we all know, has paved the way to the greatest unification the world has ever known: *a unification under Evil!*

And it is *evolution* which, through TEILHARD DE CHARDIN, was allowed to appear as pointing beyond itself. It is painted as being alive and vibrant with ‘god’. Its soul is ‘god’. Evolution, then, is the unifying force of our culture. Everything has been subjected to it: ‘philosophy’, morality, science, education, religion, as well as the thinking of millions of catholics. Everything ... Except one thing: the Catholic Church.

And the burning question put before the pagans of ancient Rome, put before the world of the Renaissance, is now once again and for the third time placed before the world, the world of our days:

“Is now at last ‘Creation’, the Universe, suitably dressed up to be identified with the Catholic Church? Have we now found, at last, the true meaning of the Catholic Church, as it was in the Mind of Christ? A church growing towards identification with the world, and with every religion within it, to complete Evolution?”

“To say ‘NO’ now, in the face of this splendour and technological magnificence is simply absurd!” is the ruling mentality of the intelligensia of today. Evolution, teilhardian evolution that is, baptised by this unparalleled ‘catholic’ genius, this second ‘Thomas Aquinas’, has proved conclusively (!) that this whole Creation is more than just ‘creation’: it is alive with ‘god’! At last, OUR culture appears to be more than just ‘culture’: *it is the very matrix in which the identity between Church and Creation is born and formed*. Everything is the ‘Divine Milieu’.

This time the temptation to agree is formidable. This time the very credibility of the Magisterium is at stake ... Shall the Church say “NO”?

And the ‘theologians’ have decided: “This time it is too important. This time the Church simply cannot say ‘NO’. *This time we will not let Her say ‘NO’*. We will answer the question for Her. And then whoever says ‘NO’ after our clear ‘YES’, in contradiction to us, is obviously out of his mind and a nuisance to world unity: *ANATHEMA SIT!* Away with him, crucify him!”

“This time, the cultural stage we have reached demands identification”, is the clear message from TEILHARD DE CHARDIN. “This time we must admit to my newly formulated ‘Principle of Identity or Sameness’. We only have to throw out ONE DOGMA: *Original Sin*, and I will enable you to look at the whole of the Catholic Church to see it finally in its true alignment and perspective, its true prophetic mission: identical in every respect with a

world-in-evolution, the incarnation of the cosmic-christ, the matrix of the one 'divine milieu' ..."

And in the turmoil, the shouting and the confusion in the 'church of darkness', the clear 'NO' of the Catholic Church got lost. She will not bow down to adore Satan in return for a world he no longer owns. If Christ paid a high price for its Redemption, the Church is equally prepared to pay the same price for its deliverance.

And when, on the invitation of the teilhardian, apostate 'theologians', speaking now world-wide for the Church, the prince of this world will take up his place in the newly formed 'church of darkness', he will find the world unified in political, economic and religious slavery, and he will finish the job of unification by persecuting those who refused to bow their knee and their minds before him. The 'hour of the Church' will be terrible when it finally comes, but She will be under the steadfast protection of the Virgin who was Herself never deceived, and who did not allow Her faithful servants to succumb to deception either.

The Virgin is not only the Mother of the Bride of the Lamb of God, but also Her model and Proto-type. This contrasts irrevocably with the nature and the most secret purpose of the 'church of darkness': *to be the harlot of the Beast!* Preferred by 'modern man', that pathetic end-product of a faked evolution, in preference to the Catholic Church. And the fury of the harlot and the beast will be such, that DANIEL could predict in the Holy Spirit "that the Perpetual Sacrifice will be abolished for three-and-a-half years", the exact time-span allotted by St. John in the Book of Revelations to the reign of the beast.

All this then goes to show, that a power struggle is going on between the deceivers of the First Chapter and the Servants of Our Blessed Lady, who are protected against deception because of their filial love for the Catholic Church. The deceivers may claim to believe in Christ, but they will never project themselves as servants of Our Lady, and so they invariably will end up adoring the fictitious 'cosmic-christ' of Teilhard in their very own 'church of darkness'.

This power struggle is not only over the 'mind of man', but even more importantly over 'who will possess the Catholic Church'. The teilhardian modernists and marxists? Trying to convince the world that they have 'the numbers'? And that the Catholic Church has become the prize possession of their 'church of darkness'? Or the true children of the Church, the Children of Mary? The ones who may be depicted as being the losers, as having been abandoned by their own Church, when a great number of their fellow catholics also "will run after the Beast" [Rev.13:3], and follow it into the 'church of the Second Beast, for the adoration of the First Beast: Antichrist'.

In that fatal hour, how many will bless the great Mother of God for the singular grace of having made their total consecration to Her in plenty of time, which will enable them to unfailingly know where the Catholic Church is to be found at any given time, no matter how great the confusion or how deep the darkness all around them.

For the True Church will always look like Our Lady, will always be modelled on Her, will always be protected by Her, and will always be illumined by the Supernatural Light of Catholic Faith.

B. UNION WITH GOD

For a Catholic there is no other road to God, and to union with God, than through the Catholic Church. Try as we may to make up our own minds in these things: unless we Catholics believe in the teachings of the Catholic Church, and unless we order our lives according to that teaching, we will find no other way to Christ, and through Christ to God. If the True Devotion makes a Catholic wide-eyed to see where, at any time, the Catholic Church is to be found, and makes him willing to comply with all Her teachings, then the True Devotion, far from detracting from Christ, is for Catholics a powerful means, willed by God, *to remain with His Son in the Church founded by Him.*

But there is even more to it than this.

The greatest Union with God is in Holy Communion, and Marian Catholics are invariably Eucharistic Catholics. Nearly all of them are daily communicants, brought to the Table of the Lord by their love for the very one who gave us Christ in the Incarnation. Here there is no deception possible: the True Devotion does not stop at Mary, but leads one directly to God. Therein lies the great secret: that the True Devotion *is willed by God* for the glorification of His Mother. It is as safe for the salvation of souls as staying in the Catholic Church. For that is its final end.

Our Blessed Lady is, in God's saving Will, not only the Mother of the Sacred Humanity of His only-begotten Son Jesus Christ; but is, at the same time, also the Mother of His Mystical Body, the Catholic Church. Christ is the Head of His Church; and no one is only the mother of the head of a body. Abandoning Our Lady 'for the sake of ecumenism' is the beginning of one's departure from the Catholic Church, *AND FROM THE TRUE ECUMENISM WITHIN HER*, for the sake of the false one. And we know in which 'church' the false ecumenism will be found!

Turning to Our Lady is turning to the origin of our Union with God. For it was through Her that God came to us in Christ His Son. Since it is Christ's will that we have union with the Father through Him, it is His ardent desire that we come to Him through His Mother, as He came to us through Her.

There is a great mystique behind this True Devotion. Christ wishes us to come to Him *through His Church*. That is why it is 'His ardent wish' that we have a great devotion to His Mother, *as we can always recognise the True Church in Her ...* She is the Mother of the Church founded by Him, modelled on Her. And VATICAN II has taught us once again from the origins of Mariology:

"This Maternity will last without interruption until the eternal fulfilment of all the Elect ..." [Lumen Gentium. 62.]

WITHOUT INTERRUPTION ... No 'moratorium', then, for the sake of ecumenism. No watering down, 'playing it cool', discrete silences, public embarrassments and even downright resentment, yes, even hostility, where devotion to Our Blessed Lady is concerned. These are neither the teachings of the Church, nor the wish of the faithful, nor the will of God. Where these things are found, the 'Sensus Catholicus', the Catholic Instinct, is fast waning, followed by loss of Catholic Faith altogether.

If then there is no obstacle, no impediment, no *interruption* even in the Mind of God to the True Devotion, people are allowed to be in love with the Virgin, who is their Mother, in a most intimate way:

"For She is a breath of the Majesty of God,
Pure emanation of the Glory of the Almighty,
Hence nothing impure can touch Her.
She is the reflection of the Eternal light,
Untarnished mirror of God's active power,
The image of His perfection.
Although alone, She can do all.
Herself unchanging, She makes all things new.
In each generation She enters into holy souls,
Forming them into friends of God, and prophets.
For God loves only those who live with Wisdom.
She indeed is more splendid than the sun;
She outshines all the constellations
Compared with light, She takes precedence,
For light must yield to night,
But over Wisdom evil will never triumph!
She deploys Her strength from one end of the earth to the other.

It is She who orders all things for good.
She it was I loved and searched for from my youth.
I resolved to have Her as my bride,
For I fell in love with Her beauty.
She glories in Her noble birth,
For She lives in communion with God,
And the Lord of all has loved Her.
Yes, she is an initiate in the mysteries of God's knowledge,
Making choice of the works He is to do ..." [Wisdom.7:25-8:4.]

We know that, if these inspired prayers for Wisdom in the Ancient Regime, were in God's Providence meant to be prayers for the coming of the Redeemer, then by the same Providence of God they were written in such a way, that they became the equally ardent prayers for the coming of Her who was to be His Mother.

How exquisite these prayers are to honour the mother of God. How they refer to the fact that She would never be deceived. How they extol already in the foreknowledge of God, Her Immaculate Conception. How they praise Her for being wise in understanding God's saving will, and to act accordingly.

And so we are allowed to pray to Her, and call on Her, *and bind ourselves to Her* with these selfsame prayers inspired by God Himself. For we know these prayers have been answered! Who in the Old Testament but God, the Author of these Sacred Pages, could have foreseen that "*making choice of the works He is to do*" ... would one day be literally true, when God, the Creator of Heaven and Earth, was Her Child in Nazareth. When every choice She made, and every work He did in obedience to Her, was most pleasing to the Father. And now the same with us when, through the True Devotion, we have bound ourselves to Her, and to the Church of which She is the Mother and the Model, and through that Church to God.

Pray for me.

Appendix A

About the Erroneous Opinions of the Modernists With Regard to Infallible Teaching

Every Catholic has known for almost 2000 years that Christ founded His Church to guard against error. Against individual and collective error. That is a Dogma of the Church, Revealed Truth. Yet, in every gathering led by the Modernists, the attending catholics are being taught *how to safeguard themselves against alleged errors in the teachings of the Church*: the exact opposite. By some curious new ‘principle’: the ‘Principle of Sameness’, the CONTRARY to a Revealed Truth is being held up in those meetings of the ‘Synagogue of Satan’ as being at least equally true, and thus worthy of consideration; but infinitely more preferable than ‘the old Church’s teaching’, which is then subsequently discarded.

Where does this ‘principle’ come from, and who introduced it into the mainstream of ‘catholic’ thinking?

Fortunately for us, before he died, Professor Dietrich VON HILDEBRAND drew the attention of the world’s philosophers to a curious phenomenon he had discovered in the writings of the late TEILHARD DE CHARDIN. The Professor’s observation is contained in his famous APPENDIX to his book: *TROJAN HORSE IN THE CITY OF GOD*.

“I do not know of any thinker who so artfully jumps from one position to another contrary one, without being disturbed by the jump or even noticing it...”

The Professor did not pursue the matter further, but on closer examination it comes to light, that TEILHARD can take up these contrary positions as being equally ‘true’ because of the totally false meaning he tries to give to the thomistic ‘Principle of Identity’; making it into a ‘Principle of SAMENESS’. The thomistic ‘Principle of Identity’ has as counter-balance for its true meaning the ‘Principle of Contradiction’; safeguarding it from the misuse TEILHARD tries to give it. The ‘principle of sameness’ is absurd, illogical, non-existing; but *VITAL to the Modernists!*

Teilhard’s understandable hatred for Thomism is only surpassed by his modernist followers, but at a price: their totally contrary ‘system’ *will not chime in with Revelation*. No catholic philosophy, theology, catechesis, and no

Catholic Faith can be built on it, or maintained by it. Yet, it is everywhere passed on as the ‘new, exciting, catholic insights’.

Briefly, then, why introduce such a spurious ‘principle’ and maintain it at all cost?

The answer is: ‘evolution’. Specifically, *Teilhardian evolution*. Teilhard wanted his evolution to give a totally new slant on Catholicism. He literally wanted evolution to take care of everything. Even Christ was subjected to it. [*Le Christique*, 1955, one month before his sudden death in a New York hotel room.] to him, evolution ends up *inevitably* in omega point. We can compare it to a jolly cruise ship: it ends up inevitably in port, no matter what people on board think or do. In evolution *it is all the same* if you believe in two opposites as being equally true. It does not really matter what you believe: evolution ends up inevitably in the same bucket ...

If one listens carefully to the sermons of priests ‘tainted with modernism’, then this is precisely what we hear. God is being depicted as some kind of weak do-gooder with no rights, only duties to the modernists, and ‘understanding’ of their position. The ‘old Church’ had it all wrong. We live in a sinless world from which we have nothing to fear, and when we leave this place after a life of ‘social graces’, we go straight to heaven Does any of this ‘chime in with Revelation’?

Jacques MITTERAND was right when, in 1969, he told his brother-freemasons that the ‘crime’ of Teilhard was to have placed man on the altar for adoration ... Only, he approved of it. For in name of this ‘crime’ the most shocking heresies and immorality are tolerated and even condoned, paving the way for the one-world ‘religion’ of the Second Beast.

Yet Catholics refuse to see all this as a break with Catholic Tradition and thus as a formal break with the Catholic Church. “contrary ‘truths’ cannot exist”, they have been told by a Pope, the late Pope John XIII, upholding the logic of all ages. Teilhardian evolution does not exist, and so the ascertainment of Truth remains of paramount importance; a matter of Eternal Life or Eternal Death.

Let us now briefly examine how the teilhardian modernists go about holding up the contrary to a Catholic Truth as if it has a superior value; after which the victims of the deceit are ‘free’ to reject authentic Catholic teaching, and embrace the error as the ‘new catholic insight’ ...

I have before me one of those handouts, titled: ‘*CATHOLIC THEOLOGICAL COLLEGE*’ MP2 *Fundamental Moral 11*, distributed in one of those horror-seminars to catechists for the abortion of their Catholic Faith. The notes are a resume of the teachings of a book by a non-catholic, titled: *The Authority of the*

Church and the Freedom of the Conscience of the Faithful, 1966. In these notes Catholics are being taught how to place themselves above the Pope and the Church is deciding for themselves what they consider ‘errors’ in the Church’s teaching, in order to accept or reject teaching at will: *the exact opposite again of Christ’s Immutable Will*. But in doing, this these people are identifying themselves with error: the very thing Christ wished His Church to protect the faithful from. And since error is being taught at those seminars *in the name of the Church*, these people are clearly apostates; part of the *great Movement of Apostasy* that Pope St. PIUS X saw already fully operational *in every country* of his own time. [*Our Apostolic Mandate*, 1910.] They are the ‘Fifth Column’, infiltrators in the City of God.

In the notes at hand, the fraud starts off with the following statement, and I quote:

“When the Church does not teach us infallibly, she teaches us fallibly, and fallible teaching is, and has been, open to error.”

And then, by way of ‘explanation’ (!), it is put forward that on the average the Church teaches us infallibly only a few times a century; and that all the rest of the time she teaches us fallibly, which means that we must use our own private judgement to sort out this teaching, and to decide – with our infallible mind??? – what is in error, and so to be rejected. [In other words: Christ could have saved Himself the trouble of founding a Church.]

There are two despicable deceits in this caricature of the Catholic Church. (Remember the odd-looking ‘church’ that is being built?)

1. One deception lies of course in the sure knowledge of these ‘experts’, that virtually nobody knows that it is a foundation principle in LOGIC that no valid conclusions can be drawn from negative premises. [The ruin left over after the destruction of THOMISTIC PHILOSOPHY is universal!]

Premise: When the Church does NOT teach us infallibly.

Let us analyse what is being done here by way of an example. What conclusion is there to be drawn from a statement like this:

“When he is off the drink, he is quite a nice man?”

Meaning: “When he does NOT drink ...”

The REAL conclusion is not the lame follow up: ‘He is quite a nice man’. The REAL conclusion is the *mental image* we are asked to form of the man:

‘When he does NOT drink ...’. Are we to conclude that he is usually drunk? Is he trying to kick the habit? Was the last time he had a drink two years ago? Yesterday? NEVER? Do not exclude even the last mentioned possibility, for the above statement could be made if he never had a drop in his life. ... As can be gathered, it is impossible to draw any valid conclusion from such a statement; and if it is done, it is a fallacy. Some vindictive, spiteful person, making this statement, could quite well have the intention of implying that the man is usually drunk, wanting us to draw THAT conclusion in order to have an unfavourable impression of him. But THAT CONCLUSION may not be true at all, even if the speaker may have made a true statement. We have no way of CONCLUDING if the man never has a drink, or is usually drunk, or is trying to kick the habit.

Let us now go back to the statement about the Church:

“When the Church does NOT teach us infallibly ...”

We have no way of knowing if the Church EVER did not teach us infallibly, or is in the habit of not teaching us infallibly, or is trying to kick that bad habit as Modernism is progressing, or what. Vindictive apostates obviously want us to conclude ‘that the Church teaches us infallibly ONLY a few times a century ...’; but that conclusion, induced with malice, is just as fallacious as any other conclusion we may care to make. What conclusion is there to be drawn from the statement: “When I do not go fishing ...” That I like fishing? Am in the habit of going fishing? May occasionally go fishing? Or never go fishing? Impossible to say. What conclusion can be drawn from a ‘contingent fact’ that did not happen?

2. The second fraud slipped into these notes and used by these ‘experts’ is far worse, but VITAL to their argument. They deliberately confuse ‘infallible teaching’ with ‘DECLARED’ infallible teaching! This comes to light in what they offer ‘by way of explanation’.

To understand what they are really saying, and what sort of ‘logic’ they are using, here is an example:

If you get a parcel in the mail which contains a book, and NOBODY around you tells you (‘declares’) that there is a book in it, then, according to the above ‘logic’ the conclusion is valid: that there is no book in the parcel ...

This, of course, is utter rubbish. If you get Catholic Teaching from the Holy Father, and the Pope does NOT declare that it is infallible teaching, then, according to the above ‘logic’, the conclusion is valid ‘*that it is fallible (ie. not infallible) teaching ...*’

First of all, they once again draw a conclusion from a NEGATIVE premise: NOBODY around you tells you ... The Holy Father does NOT declare ... But then they confuse infallible teaching with ‘declared’ infallible teaching. Teaching can quite well BE infallible, without ever having been DECLARED infallible. The classic example is our belief in the Perpetual Virginity of Our Blessed Lady. This is so universally understood to be infallibly true by the whole Church, except the Modernists, that the Catholic Church has never bothered to make this into a Dogma of the Faith, by DECLARING it to be infallibly true. However, every time the Holy Father uses this teaching in some Encyclical, or speech, he is using INFALLIBLE teaching, and so is teaching us infallibly. The same goes for countless other teachings.

So now we know what ‘conclusion’ they wanted us to draw when they started off by stating; “When the Church does NOT teach us infallibly...” No conclusion is valid, but their vindictiveness and spite forces the conclusion on the minds of their hapless victims: ‘NEARLY ALL Church teaching is fallible’.

And on this sort of fraud, lies, deception, cunning and absurd logic, seminarians, catechists and the faithful at large are being instructed how to be on their guard against an Infallible Church; Divinely instituted to protect us against such teachers and such errors ...

What ‘church’ other than a ‘church of darkness’ can be built on such designs? The thrust of these demons is against *HUMANAE VITAE*, declaring it ‘fallible teaching’ because, to them, the Holy Father did not ‘declare’ it to be infallible. Apart from the fact that the Holy Father did indicate that it really is infallible teaching by demanding “*internal assent from Bishops*” (!), their reasoning and conclusions are preposterous.

But, alas, the one-world ‘church of darkness’, the ‘church’ of the Second Beast, demands, as we saw in the First Chapter, one-world immorality: everybody on the Pill under the pretext of ‘freedom of conscience ...’

Thank God for Divine Providence, having foretold us with His Authority already in 1919: ‘that the looming one-world ‘church’ would use freedom as a pretext to do evil’. [*Our Apostolic Mandate*, Pope St. PIUS X.]

Appendix B

“*Eli, Eli, Lama Sabachthani?*”

Ps 22:1

Mt 27:46

When on the Cross Christ cried out these words in Hebrew, He did not mean to tell the people ‘that God had deserted Him’. If he wanted to convey that message, He would have spoken in Aramaic. As it was, many bystanders, not comprehending what He said, thought He was calling on Elias.

It is not unreasonable to assume that the marked conversion of Jewish priests in the very Early Church is due to Christ – always the Teacher – intoning this Psalm for them on the Cross. For they knew Hebrew, and understood what He said. And meant! For once intoned, the minds of His tormentors went with Him through the whole of Psalm 22: *the most graphic description* of the Passion and Death of ‘the Servant of God’ the Old Testament has left us. And with the superabundance of Redemption, flowing freely from the Cross with His Blood, the grace of conversion was extended to them.

However, the actual words of the Psalmist do speak of a seeming ‘desertion by God’; and I will use them in this Appendix in defence against charges made against orthodox Catholics, accusing them of holding ‘*that God has deserted His Church*’, when they point to alarming and wide-spread devastations caused by the Modernists.

The accusation can come from all sides: from well-meaning but shallow faithful who think the defenders of the Faith are exaggerating; from fellow-travellers of the Modernists who are ashamed of their own lack of fervour and courage, but who are in need of some good-sounding excuse; and with great malice from the Modernists themselves who are determined to put strong opposition off-side with the bishops, and with everybody else, by any means.

To be viewed by many as someone ‘who does not trust in the Holy Spirit’ hurts, and, what is more, could have some effect in stifling at least some *vocal* opposition to the work of destruction that is going on. As long as the accused, sensitive to this sort of criticism, does not give up *praying* all is not lost. The prayers will at least come to the aid of those who see through the fallacy of the accusation and turn it to their advantage.

The thing to do, of course, is to point out to the accusers that none of the Catholics fighting heresy and immorality today, *have yet gone as far as the Holy Spirit and Christ Himself* in describing the extent of the devastations caused.

The Holy Spirit let St. JOHN predict in the Book of Revelation that there will come a time in which *the whole world* will run after the Beast.

Christ Himself has predicted that there would come a time so evil, that ‘the love of many would cool off’, and that, if it were possible ‘*even His Elect would be deceived ...*’

Add to that what our lady said at FATIMA about a world-wide spread of error, and what two Pope have said about ‘a great movement of apostasy in every country on earth’, and ‘the smoke of Satan seeping into the Church through the cracks’, and I am sure that you can convince any modernist that *no ordinary mortal* would have dared to take it upon himself to describe the alarming situation in such words ... So, after all, who may claim to have the Holy Spirit, Christ, the Blessed Virgin Mary and Popes on their side, now that the extent of the ravages has been *infallibly* declared *to be world-wide* with God’s Authority?

But yet, heresy IS being taught in almost every classroom, from almost every pulpit, in almost every country ... Many of the listeners are innocent children, ordinary, simple Catholics; who are not up to the subtleties they hear from all side. May we not turn the charge from an, admittedly, unwarranted accusation, then at least to a serious problem many people will have in their own private lives? How does one square-off the global lapse into religious anarchy with the Love and Providence of God?

The difficulty is not new. Many a Protestant now would have been a Catholic if his fore-father had remained true to the Catholic Faith. But someone slipped up, did not do his God-given duty; *and for 400 years* his off-spring did not receive the grace of Catholic Faith, *innocent as they were to the effects of this ONE sin of apostasy!* From this we may gauge how seriously God views the priceless gift of Catholic Faith. *Every grace* is given to a Catholic to maintain it and use it for the purpose for which it was granted. If the consequences of keeping it are of such eternal importance, so are the consequences of losing this most precious Gift from God!

Herein lies, of course, the beginning of an answer. God does not abandon His Church. His Church will never abandon Him, no matter how many millions of Catholics may desert Her. Each of these Catholics will recognise in Eternity, that they had at their disposal all the Graces necessary to remain faithful to their Faith.

When the Church shrinks in numbers, the quality of the Faith remaining in Her increases daily, to make up for the loss; so that no one can accuse God of not having looked after him when the Catholics, to whom his Eternal Fate was

entrusted, fell by the wayside. There will always be a Catholic Church, with strong Catholic Faith within Her, to cater for everybody, even the heretics!

Finally, NO ONE will be in Hell by accident, because of someone else. And herein lies the complete answer to our problem. We recognise what is being done to children all over the world, to the simple Catholics ... Let us look at an answer given by one who is a recognised leader in his field:

“Lore NOTO: actor, producer, well-recognised figure in the theatre world, and severe critic of what is taking place on the stages and screen of the entertainment media ...

Lore Noto blows away like bubbles from a child’s ring every argument that a deprived childhood makes for a troubles adult. Raised from an early age in the Brooklyn Home for Children, and forced, upon leaving, to work in his father’s poolroom, he has become a man of stature, with deep moral convictions. He is also a father and feels an obligation to the youth of this country, to speak out on the turn that the entertainment business has taken ...

‘Through the world of entertainment’ Noto said, ‘today’s youth are being hammered at, they are being subverted, they are being exploited, they are being manipulated. *But there is ultimately within every human being that need to stop and assess and evaluate and criticise and judge. And that will be the only hope. What does that mean to parents? We have to continually remind them that that is what their responsibility is.*’ [From *THE EMBATTLED PARENT*, Gloria Lenz, pp.15,18.]

Recognise it?

For well over 100 years, as we have seen, the Popes have been telling us that the destruction of Supernatural Faith would come through a devastating attack on *clear thinking*, and on the pinnacle of that clear thinking: *the Philosophy of St. Thomas Aquinas*. And we have been told why that Philosophy is so important. Because it is the *preamble* to Faith, the opening up to it, the sound preparation to it; containing Truths with which Eternal Truths *will chime in!* And here is an ordinary man with a penetrating mind, and a love for children, telling us exactly the same. “but there is ultimately in every human being that need to stop, and let clear thinking take over ...” And God’s Grace, earned by the Church who kept that Philosophy, and the Faith built on it, will then do the rest; and allow these feeble beginnings of clear thinking ‘to chime in with His Eternal, Saving, Life-giving Truths, necessary for Salvation’. And accept the surrender to it ...

And confining ourselves to ‘the Catholic children in the classroom’, and to ‘the simple-minded Catholics in the pews’, hearing all those subtleties, then we

must say; that Catholic Faith cannot be taken away from them against their will, but can only be surrendered voluntarily. Somehow, sooner or later, they will be placed before a clear choice; which will put them on either the road to ruin or the road to riches. And long before Catholic Faith will be involved, that choice will be something that pertains to clear thinking. Ultimately, when all is said and done, the road to ruin is taken *because of a want to take it* Prayers are being neglected, temptations, occasions of sin, are not resisted or avoided because of a hankering after these things. The Faith is not studied because of a desire to rather remain ignorant. Clear thinking is blurred, and the Faith suffers. But even here, as Noto says, there can still come a time that the need for reconsideration is strongly felt.

May someone else's heroic virtue then win the day

Appendix C

Venerable Anna Catharina EMMERICH

Obedience to Church authority and Prudence are essential in dealing with all ‘private revelations’. In these times of rampant confusion and deception, there is an understandable tendency to cling to these things for ‘insight’ and ‘comfort’.

In the case of the visions and pronouncements of the Ven. A. C. Emmerich, who lived from 1774 – 1824 mostly in Dulmen, Germany; *God in His Providence*, has taken certain precautions Himself, which must be taken into account for a complete assessment of this particular case of ‘private revelation’.

For one, nobody can, strictly speaking, quote the Servant of God directly, since she never wrote down a single thing. In her case, therefore, everything depends on the competence and the authority of the author who wrote about her, and from whose book the quotes are taken.

The *competence* of Father Carl E. SCHMOEGER, CSsR, her foremost biographer, is as good and trustworthy as any other trustworthy, competent author.

The *authority* of this Priest is enhanced by the favourable circumstances surrounding his work. As I have already made known in the appropriate place in this book, the French translation was made by the Vicar General and Canon of the Cathedral of Versailles from the original German text; and His Holiness Pope PIUS IX *ordered* the Italian translation to be made from the German proof sheets.

Add to this,

- That a poetical genius, Clement BRENTANO was moved by God to devote his great talents to the service of this Servant of God for years, even long after her holy death,
- That this holy nun was subjected to two very thorough and painful examinations during her lifetime, the results of which were made available to Fr. Schmoeger on the express wish of the then Bishop of Limbourg,
- That the cause for her beatification was accepted by His Holiness Pope LEO XIII,
- And that she was beatified by Pope JOHN PAUL II on 3 October, 2004. (Postscript to the original text of this book that was printed in 1982.)

And we have all the necessary ingredients on which obedience and prudence depend.

To sum up, then, in making use of the life story of this holy nun, I am not quoting her directly, but I am making quotes from a book which has all the necessary qualifications of authority, competence, historical veracity and accuracy that are needed to guarantee its authenticity.

Appendix D

On Garabandal

I include a brief discussion of this topical matter in these pages because devotion to Our Blessed Lady and deception do not go together. If Our Lady did not appear in Garabandal, then all those promoters of the cult are deceived. Yet how can they claim to have such great devotion to Our Lady, and even seem to display it? What is the situation here?

The situation is that, on the principles of *obedience* and *prudence*, established in the previous Appendix, both the cult and the promotion of Garabandal must be rejected.

There is overwhelming written evidence available that the competent Church authority has steadfastly declared that the events at Garabandal have no Supernatural origin and has steadfastly refused to reconsider its verdict. Also in the most recent letters to come from the office of the Bishop of SANTANDER, dated May 23, 1980. It is categorically stated that the present Bishop

- agrees with the previous Bishops;
- does NOT approve of the groups in favour of Garabandal;
- has not given anyone permission to spread the cult;
- declares that no further investigation has taken place in the last 4 years.

Furthermore, the letter from Card. Franjo SEPER, Prefect of the Congregation for the Sacred Doctrine of the Faith to Archbishop Philip M. Hannan of New Orleans, Louisiana, dated April 12, 1970, is also widely known.

In this letter Card. Seper declares that the Holy See:

- has carefully studied the proceedings of the Bishop of Santander;
- agrees with the definitive negative findings of the Curia of Santander;
- has praise for the prudence shown and the methods followed by the Bishop;
- has no intention of taking over the investigation, or examining this question any further, as the local Ordinary has complete jurisdiction and so the final responsibility;
- has NOT appointed an ‘Official Private Investigator of Garabandal’;

- has never approved, even indirectly, the Garabandal movement; has never blessed or encouraged Garabandal promoters or centres;
- rather deplors the fact that certain persons and institutions persist in fomenting the movement *in obvious contradiction* with the dispositions of ecclesiastical authority, and thus disseminate *confusion* among the people, especially among the simple.

In the full light of the above it can now be categorically stated that any ‘fomenters of the Garabandal promotion movement’ have no real love for Our Blessed Lady, and so are NOT examples of people who are deceived whilst having a great devotion to the Mother of God. Rather they are deceived because of their LACK of obedience and prudence, and their lack of genuine love and devotion. How can we be so sure?

Because Garabandal directly opposes FATIMA, and, being built on sin and deception, was created for the very purpose of discrediting FATIMA. The promoters only promote themselves, and their own ego and importance.

How does Garabandal directly oppose FATIMA?

When Our Lady first appeared at the Cova da Iria, on the 13th of May, 1917, She said i.a. that she would make known in October who She was, and what Her requests are.

HER VERY FIRST REQUEST made known to the world in Her October apparition was for mankind TO STOP SINNING! Anyone who refuses to stop committing sins, has nothing to do with Fatima; and more precisely, with Our Lady of Fatima. Deliberate *disobedience*, and the deliberate dissemination of *confusion*, [words used by Card. SEPER, the highest authority under the Pope in these matters], are serious sins; and so preclude anyone who commits them from having a genuine love for Our Lady, because such people do not even heed Her most serious request, the one first mentioned at Fatima.

Every time Father BENAC, a priest specifically mentioned in a letter from the Bishop of Santander as NOT having received any permission to promote Garabandal, holds up a stone for kissing ‘as having been touched and blessed by Our Lady at Garabandal’, he commits a grievous sin of deception. Our Lady never appeared at Garabandal, nor did She touch or bless any stones there ...

A few comments for added interest.

1. I know of one person who will testify to the fact that he and his mother lost all love for Our Lady of Fatima as soon as they started to promote ‘the

apparitions of Garabandal'. The change was so marked, that it pulled him up just in time, and on sorting things out with his mother, they decided not only to stop their Garabandal activities, but also to take steps to undo what damage they may have done in the few weeks they spent recommending the false 'apparitions' to others.

2. Some people are puzzled by the fact, that Rome does not take an independent hand in sorting out alleged apparitions. It worries them that Roman authorities seem to leave it all up to the local bishop, and then accept his verdict as final. Everybody knows that the Garabandalists are constantly promising a 'fresh Roman investigation' which will overturn the findings of the local Ordinary. But not only is nothing forthcoming in this area: Card. SEPER has categorically declared that nothing of the sort would be forthcoming. Is there any reassurance to be gained in this situation?

Card. Seper has expressed the correct attitude of the Church in these matters, in line with procedures always adopted. We do not have only Card. Seper's word for that: I know of two instances, where we have PAPAL testimony to reassure us, that the line adopted in the Garabandal investigation is the official one. ROME will always remain fully informed by the local bishop about the way he went about following official guidelines, set out by the Holy See to be adopted in cases like that. That way, Rome can point out to the local Ordinary in which way his 'homework' could be improved, if necessary. But 'Rome' will never take over.

Here, then, are the two instances, referred to above.

- (i) On the occasion of the centenary of the Apparitions of Our Blessed Lady at LOURDES, Pope PIUS XII issued a Jubilee Encyclical on 2nd July, 1957. In recalling the history of those blessed events one hundred years earlier, the Holy Father had this to say:

"You know too how firm and pure was the testimony she [Bernadette] bore and how, after being prudently tested *by the episcopal authority*, it was sanctioned *by that authority* as early as 1862. ..."

No mention of Rome sanctioning anything. From start to finish, it was left under the Jurisdiction of the local Ordinary. Rome accepted the final verdict, and, together with the whole Church, rejoiced.

- (ii) The same Holy Father is instrumental in producing another proof to the same effect, this time of *his own compliance* with procedures laid down by the Church for the guidance of us all.

In 1942, the Bishop of NAMUR, Belgium, had completed his investigations into the alleged apparitions of Our Blessed Lady at BEAURAING, and found them worthy of credence. It was his intention, however, to make his approbation of the heavenly visitations by the Virgin Mary known as soon as the war would be over. Rome, aware of the findings as well as of the episcopal decision to delay approval, thought that it would be in the spiritual interest of suffering Belgium, to make approval known as soon as possible. Did Rome take matters into its own hands, and start to act ‘over the head of the local Bishop’, as the Garabandalists of today are clamouring?

This is what took place:

“The new Bishop received from Rome a decree dated December 7, 1942, and approved by Pope PIUS XII, which granted him *full liberty to proceed* toward canonical recognition.

On February 2nd, 1943, *the Bishop* published a Decree which authorised public devotions to Our Lady of Beauraing. This was during the dark days of the German occupation of Belgium, and the people took great comfort from this development ...” [From, *The Woman Shall Conquer*, by Don Sharkey.]

Note that Rome did not give the local Bishop *permission* to proceed with the canonical recognition, but advised him to make full use of his liberty to *proceed now*. If the Bishop found it prudent to wait until after the war, to prevent upsetting the Germans with ‘unlawful gatherings’, etc. at the shrine, then Rome wanted him to reconsider in the light of pressing spiritual needs. The joy of Our Lady’s concern was not meant only for the people of Belgium in those dark days, but for the whole Church.

3. We must not assume that ‘Garabandal’ is a moving story of devotion and innocence, only to be squashed by bishops ‘who have a vested interest in squashing these things’. The Garabandal story discredits itself. One of the most revolting and offensive parts is “The ‘miracle’ of the visible host”. Here the deceit becomes very ugly and sinister indeed. I will tell the story in the words of two of the most recommended authors on the topic, so I do not stand accused of ‘making it up’, or making it sound more terrible than it is.

The Apparitions of Garabandal, by F. Sanchez-Ventura Y Pascual,
and
Our Lady comes to Garabandal, by Joseph A. Pelletier.

The story of the miracle begins with Conchita inviting everybody to come along: “Hearing that the child was sending letters *all over the country* announcing the miracle ...” (p.125.)

Well they had the desired effect:

“The 18th of July (1962) came, and the streets of the hamlet were filled with a growing number of pilgrims and sight-seers ... Near Conchita’s house a village dance was under way to the strains of bagpipes and drums ...” (p.125.) “It is estimated that between 2000 and 3000 people were in the village on that day ...” (p.107.)

The crowd behaved like any other mob:

“As she crossed the threshold, the crowd waiting before the house opened in just sufficient time to let her pass, and then the multitude was milling around her, like a river that had burst its banks and sweeps away everything in its part. I saw people falling to the ground and trampled by others. As far as I know, nobody was hurt. But the sight of that fantastic mob on the run, shoving and elbowing one another, *could not be more terrifying* ... (p.129.) “I staunchly withstood the pushing of those behind me, striving with all my might not to be wrenched from my vantage point.” (p.129-130. The amateur cameraman speaking.) “I only remember, as in a dream, voices crying out to me to get down, and I felt a heavy blow on my head ...”. (p.130. Same man.) “Seconds later, he was pushed violently, and fell to the ground with the camera ...”. (p.109.) [All this at 2 o’clock in the morning, mind you! But he managed to get his pictures, although absolutely unfamiliar with the use of a movie camera ...]

Meanwhile, how did Conchita ‘pose’ for those shots?

“By 10 o’clock (pm) I had already received one call. At midnight, I received another. Then later, at 2 in the morning the Angel appeared to me in a room in my house ...” (p.104.) “Conchita neglects to say in the Diary that some time after the start of the apparition, she engaged in one of her characteristic ecstatic marches. With her head flung back, she very quickly descended the stairs from her room on the 2nd floor where the vision had started. She passed out of the house into the expectant crowd which milled around her and followed her. She turned left around the corner of her house into a lane, and then left again into a street. There in the street, immediately behind her house she fell suddenly on her knees *in a puddle of water* and received visible communion from the angel ...” (p.106.) “I attempted to follow Conchita, but a crowd 15 or 20 foot deep separated us. [The hired cameraman speaking.] I sometimes caught a vague glimpse of her. She turned left along the lane formed by the side of her house and a low wall. She turned left again, and there, right in the middle of the alley, which is fairly wide at

that spot, she suddenly fell to her knees. Her fall was so unexpected that the avalanche of people were carried past on either side of her by the weight of their own numbers. I was fortunate in not being carried past them, and before I knew it, I unexpectedly found myself to her right, *with her face a mere 18 inches from mine ...*" (p.129.) [And in this nightmare were the only pictures taken by a self professed fumbler who knew nothing about camera handling, and who even forgot he had the camera until the very last moment ...]

These, then, are the circumstances we are asked to believe that the Infinite Majesty of God had ordained from all Eternity, as the 'worthy' setting for a 'miracle' surrounding the Adorable Presence of His Son in the Blessed Eucharist, to prove the 'veracity' of the presence of the Mother of God in Garabandal ...

- a wild and uncontrollable mob out for sensation,
- passing away the time with dancing,
- called there by the principle instigator,
- in the darkness of night, at 2 o'clock in the morning,
- in a dark alley,
- in a puddle of water ...

If this is not a picture of 'the church of darkness', where deceit and confusion reign, nothing will be. And if we compare this melee with the dignity and reverence of Fatima [which it obviously is at pains to destroy], then we can understand how the young man and his mother were rapidly losing their love for Fatima, if they considered this a worthy substitute ...

Can we really see the Prefect of the Sacred Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith bowing to pressure to give up everything he and the Church hold holy, and to override a Bishop who, in the execution of his God-given duty, condemned all this 'as having no Supernatural origin', in order to recommend *this* to us 'as having come from God'?

Finally, we can be sure of one thing: the expected 'miracle' will be of the same fraudulent character (if they can pull it off!) as this so-called 'miracle' described here, arranged to prove Garabandal true ...